

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

Patricia G. Geissman called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. with Thomas R. Bahr and Stephen D. Hambley present.

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance and a Prayer.

At the beginning of the meeting the oral reading of the minutes of October 7 was dispensed with. Each Commissioner has read them personally. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the minutes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Doug King, Administrative Assistant in the County Engineer's Office, presented 3 resolutions to advertise for bids for 2 new dump trucks for the Highway Department, and authorizing change orders for the replacement of Culverts 27 & 28 on Marks Road with Liberty Excavating and the reclamation of Crow Road with Lytle Construction. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the 3 resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners reviewed the weekly permits list.

Chris Jakab, Finance Director, presented and reviewed 6 resolutions involving amending the annual appropriations by transferring and increasing appropriations, revenue adjustments for Family First Council Help Me Grow Fund, purchasing fuel for the Engineering Center from Weaver Oil, approving an agreement with the City of Berea for inmate housing at the County Jail, and the weekly bills in the amount of \$791,161.49. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the 6 resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

John Stricker, County Administrator, presented and reviewed the personnel resolution. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the personnel changes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Jim Troike, Assistant Sanitary Engineer, presented a resolution to obtain an easement for a sanitary sewer improvement project and a resolution authorizing a Septage Disposal Program for the Sewer District #500 Wastewater Treatment Plan and establishing disposal fees. The Septage Disposal Program was discussed last week. Mr. Bahr moved to approve both resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Debra Radecky, Office for Older Adults Director, stated that they held a 90<sup>th</sup> birthday party with 15 participants. The fall festival will be Friday and their office will be closed while they are all at the Community Center. The Brunswick and Wadsworth sites will be open and serving their regular Friday lunches. They are handling 200-210 home delivered meals. There is no waiting list. Tomorrow 15 seniors are going on the Cuyahoga County Railroad. They had 25 people sign up for the trip and they went to a drawing to choose the 15 to go. The movie this month is *Monsters Inc.* Their Halloween party will be held on October 30. Community legal will do an info screening for seniors on October 30. Every month they are trying to do a small craft projects. The needs assessment grant surveys were mailed out last week to all seniors in the community.

There was a discussion about transportation for the monthly trips. They use 1 Transit bus and this month they didn't have enough seniors signed up to fill 2 buses. More of Transit's buses can't be used for their events and some seniors want the Office for Older Adults to buy a bus. There are liability issues connected with having volunteer drivers for the events. They referred some to Wadsworth and Brunswick as they both do trips and they aren't limited to people within the cities. Although all of the Transit buses are running now, there have been a lot of mechanical problems.

John Jones, Transit Services Director, presented and reviewed their statistics for September. They had a total of 9,319 trips for the month that makes a total of 84,811 one-way trips for the year. If the projections are correct they could be over 110,000 by the end of the year. As of the end of September ridership is up 18% and the Medina "L" is over 20% higher. Basically they are trying to keep their service on time and to accommodate as many people as

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

they can. They are running very close on the vehicle maintenance budget this year because one vehicle had an engine problem and there were 2 major transmission failures that the Ford Motor Company has refused to warranty. A third bus is at a Ford dealer being serviced so they will warranty the transmission. Ford acknowledged that they had a design problem in 2001 models for about 8 months, so he has a call into Detroit about possible reimbursement for at least the cost of the parts. Akron Metro had 8 failures and only 1 was warranted. He's working with Metro to check with others in the State to see if there are others that had failures. State Purchasing is also trying to get some resolution on this as the vehicles were bought through them. Back to the report, the denial rate was 1.10%, billing accuracy was 99.57% and the fare box recovery was 74.27%, however, there was on large agency that made a payment late. The total operation expenses \$75,657.24 last month, giving a cost per mile of \$1.452. On-time performance was at 95.57%. The cost per passenger was \$8.12, giving a year average of \$7.91. The cost per passenger was up to \$9.70 for the "L" because one of the buses that lost a transmission was on that route. There was 1 vehicle accident in which a bus was hit in the rear. The fuel mileage is up to 8.79 miles per gallon as they are using the diesels as much as they can. Regarding the capacity level of the buses, there will be 6 new buses this year. One is being purchased by the Society for Handicapped Citizens with Transit paying the matching funds. One bus will have a capacity of 20 as well as room for 1 wheelchair. A couple of buses have "fold-up" seating to get excess capacity. The only buses currently used that have a capacity of 18 are the 1995-1997 models, and all 1995-1996 models will be out of the fleet by next spring. At that point no bus will be over 6 years old and we will try to keep it that way. Most of the maintenance dollars spent are on buses over 5 years old. One-third of the maintenance budget this year was spent on 1995-1996 buses and air-conditioning because of the hot summer. He will be meeting with Chris Jakab this afternoon to review the budget items.

Mrs. Geissman presented a resolution authorizing the Planning Services Director to proceed with extra planning services for the Village of Seville. Mr. Bahr moved to approve this authorization. Seconded by Mr. Hambley.

Mr. Bahr noted that some of this has been going on for some time and it is now being formalized. This is another service the Planning Department is able to offer as the employee's time allows.

There was no further discussion.

Roll Call on the motion and second to approve the authorization for extra planning services showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mrs. Geissman presented a resolution reappointing Jeanette King to the Convention & Visitor's Bureau Board of Trustees with her term ending October 1, 2005. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the reappointment. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners reviewed a liquor permit notice for the Medina Interstate Sunoco in Montville Township for C1 & C2 permits. The office has received no comments or concerns.

The Clerk read the resolution to allow expenses of county officials. Mr. Bahr moved to allow the expenses. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The meeting was opened for public comment.

David Hull stated that he has been working with Jim Troike about problems from the waterline project, but his concern is with the Highway Department. His culvert is not draining properly and the only response he received from the Highway Department didn't discuss this issue. The Highway Engineer's Office doesn't feel the culvert was replaced, but he has evidence that it was. He met with Mr. Hambley and Jim last Thursday and wanted to know the status of getting the issue resolved.

Mr. Hambley noted that he had another meeting on Thursday and was at a NOACA meeting on Friday and hasn't had any further time to discuss this. He turned to Jim for a response.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

Jim stated that when they design waterlines they try to stay behind the culvert pipe. They do have money in a per foot basis for the contractor to replace those, but they are designed behind the culverts to save money. Mr. Hull believes his culvert pipe was taken out and re-set with a plastic reinforce concrete pipe. However, their inspection reports as well as the Highway Engineer's inspection reports don't show that was done.

Through questioning, Mr. Hull stated that there is a water backup problem on this property now.

Jim stated that the surveyors shot the culvert and it is about 3 inches backward.

Mr. Hambley added that it is sloped the wrong way. There is a problem in terms of placement of a yard drain on the eastward side that was designed by the Highway Engineer and there's no place for the water to go other than to the property to the north. We do have a responsibility to fix this. Regarding the issue of whether the culvert pipe was replaced or not, if it was replaced it was done with a different type of pipe than what was used through the waterline project, and if the contractor had replaced it he would have been paid for it. There is an economic incentive for Fechko to have replaced it and not to have used a substandard pipe.

Through questioning, Mr. Hull presented pictures and stated that upon examination of the culvert material he found plastic fibers. His home was constructed in 1969 and there was no standard for plastic reinforced concrete pipes until the late 1980's.

Jim noted that the Highway Engineer didn't start keeping records until the early 1980's on culverts and there are no records that anything was done on this property other than the recent waterline work. There are no records on when the culvert was put in or if it was replaced after the home was built.

In response to questioning, Mr. Hull stated that he moved into the house in 1987 and it was a concrete culvert, noting that as concrete ages you can see the stones. This pipe is smooth and clean and is about 8 inches deeper than it was. According to the standards from the American Society of Testing and Measurements, the fiber reinforced concrete was not available until the late 1980's.

Jim stated, through questioning, that he's not sure how this happened. Relative to the dates supplied by Mr. Hull, they have not been checked out. Sanitary inspection reports don't show the culvert being replaced or touched. The waterline alignment is behind the culvert pipe. The pre-construction video showed standing water and that's still true today.

Mr. Hambley stated that the problem is that there is no evidence to essentially force Fechko to replace or realign the pipe. If that work is done it would be out of the county's costs.

Jim added that he hasn't seen anything that says we removed the pipe or that we should be responsible for the cost. Through questioning, he stated that Fechko installed the waterline behind the culvert pipe and did not work on this pipe. He has talked with Fechko. He noted that the Sanitary Engineer has secondary authority in this. Fechko received permits from the Highway Engineer and they are the ones to make the call on whether this is a county responsibility or not, and they say it is not.

Mrs. Geissman asked if it would have been inexpensive for Fechko to replace the pipe to make a repair and say nothing.

Jim stated that if there were damage and they replaced the culvert pipe it wouldn't make sense for them not to put in the metal pipe they were using in the project. Also, they would have been paid for the replacement.

Mr. Hull stated that the Highway Engineer responded that there was water backup before the project. He presented a picture from 1996 showing there was water in the culvert, but that was caused by a neighbor's tree in the ditch that blocked the water, not because of the culvert. Regarding getting repair work on the yard drains, he understood there would be 3-4 surface drains, but there was only one when the plan came back from the Highway Engineer.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

Jim agreed that the Highway Engineer designed the culvert and the number of catch basins when the permit was issued, and when the design was given to Fechko it only had one drain.

Mr. Hull was told this would be reviewed and hopefully resolved soon.

There was no one else present wishing to comment.

Commissioners recessed the meeting at 10:03 a.m. to move to the conference room.

At 10:05 Commissioners reconvened the meeting for the discussion session.

Mr. Bahr had asked Art Verdoorn, Building Official, to be present to discuss an ongoing problem with a commercial establishment in Valley City. The establishment is holding functions in space that has not been approved by the Building Department or the Health Department. They are even ignoring a court order on this issue.

Art presented and reviewed information regarding Cherokee Hills Golf Course. They were issued a permit in October 2001 to remodel the lower level of an existing facility and to add a second floor. They started holding functions and occupying the building in August, and he had discussions with the Prosecutor's Office. On August 16 "stop work orders" were posted at the site stating that they were in violation and did not have a certificate of occupancy and that the remedy is to get the work done and have the final inspections or they could go before the County Board of Appeals in 30 days. Through questioning, he stated that the order was not issued to stop the construction. He wants them to continue with the construction but to stop using and occupying the facility. An order was also handed to Mark Haddad at the site. On August 30 Art signed an affidavit about this project. He understands that Ed Haddad went to the Prosecutor's Office and signed a voluntary restraining order on August 30 and that it was good for 14 days. On September 5 a final electrical inspection was done and the facility did not pass. There was no request for final inspections on the building, sprinklers, fire alarm, HVAC, kitchen, or kitchen hoods suppression systems. He received a message that there was a wedding reception planned for September 7 and upon checking into this did observe the area being set up for a wedding reception. He contacted Frank Gasper, Assistant Prosecutor, and he also observed this. A request was received to use the 2 outside dining areas and upon inspection it was noted that these areas are related to the indoor electricity, sprinklers, etc. Art turned them down on the use of the outside dining areas and notified the Prosecutor's Office. On September 20 this was brought before a judge and a temporary restraining order (TRO) was issued. The TRO is good until October 25 and if they hold a function during that time they are required to pay all of the revenues into the Treasurer of Medina County. On October 4 Mr. Gasper stated that there was going to be a wedding reception on the 12<sup>th</sup> and there was no way to stop it. He asked Art if he would agree to a \$1,500 penalty and a fire watch. Art stated he couldn't agree to that and suggested that the Commissioners or John Stricker be contacted to see if they wanted to obligate the county with that agreement. On October 10 there was another final electrical inspection and they basically passed with a few items to be taken care of yet. The HVAC contractor requested a final inspection on the 11<sup>th</sup> and the system failed that inspection because the exhaust vents were not the proper height, doesn't circulate the correct amount of air, the facility was not getting outdoor air, there were no smoke screens around the HVAC units, etc. They also need to replace the sprinkler heads, which were coated in dust and dirt from the wood that was cleaned in the building. The inspectors felt it would take 30-40 degrees more to set off the sprinkler heads than what they are rated at. That Saturday he handed Mark Haddad another stop work order and told him they were in violation. Mr. Haddad stated that he'd made special arrangements through the Prosecutor's Office and gave them money on Friday before the event. Art was allowed to see the kitchen, which was in full use. He could see through the windows that the second floor had numerous people in the outing room. He noted that the fire department had a pickup at the site and assumes they were doing a fire watch.

Through questioning, Bill Thorne, Assistant Prosecutor, stated that there was a restraining order against the owner and we went for contempt on the 20<sup>th</sup>; however, for some reason they had not been properly served the formal contempt citation and that contempt didn't go forward and was reset for October 25<sup>th</sup>. There is a restraining order in affect and the use of the facility would be a violation and there will be a fine. In the case of the agreement and

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

\$1,500, there was a wedding set and they couldn't find another place for it, and he understands that they took what steps they thought they could. The fire safety people were there and inspected and didn't feel there was any immediate fire problem. The fire chief or fire marshal does not normally inspect HVAC systems. They were present because the system wasn't working and they wanted someone there in case something happened so that they didn't have anybody getting hurt. He agreed that the fire employee was there at the request of the Prosecutor's Office.

Mr. Bahr expressed concern that a person can flagrantly ignore the court, County Building Department and County Prosecutor's Office about a public safety issue and get away with it.

Bill stated that this is the way the process goes. They have been in court once and they'll be in court again. They are taken into court on contempt.

Mr. Hambley noted that he has heard the Health Department has concerns and asked about the status of those concerns.

Bill stated that when the Prosecutor's Office talked with the Health Department there were some technical violations, but the Health Department didn't feel they were significant enough to go anywhere. The Health Department was contacted when the initial contempt was filed and again after that filing. He noted that there is contempt pending for October 25<sup>th</sup>.

Art asked about the \$1,500 Mark Haddad had referred to and also noted that it appeared there were 2 separate functions on Saturday night.

Bill stated that he believes that they were working on an amount so they knew upfront what the cost would be because they knew the facility was going to be in violation. Mr. Haddad thought they would have the work done and the people couldn't find any place else to go, so that was a bond they were working on.

Mr. Hambley asked if there is a way to look at their books and determine receipts in order to tell if there was more than one function on Saturday.

Bill stated that as a result of the court order they can do that.

Mrs. Geissman asked if the county can close the building because it hasn't passed inspections and it is a liability.

Bill stated that there is an injunction ordering that the facility not be used and every time they use it they are in violation and will be fined.

Mrs. Geissman stated that they fine obviously doesn't matter to them and there should be something stronger that can be done. She asked what happens to people that defy the law.

Bill stated if they violate the order they can get fined for contempt or they can go to jail if the judge feels it's blatant enough. Through further questioning, Bill stated that Commissioners do not have the authority to make an arrest or to have deputies at the facility to turn people away. The Prosecutor's Office contacted Art to see what could be done when the reception was going to proceed because there isn't any legal authority for the Commissioners to take any further action and signs posted before were torn down. Art didn't want to participate and he thought they had talked with Mr. Bahr.

Art stated that he questioned having a fine and allowing them to proceed. He thought something would be done to prevent them from entering the building. The problem he is having is that Dean Holman, Prosecutor, visited the job site and had meetings with them and there was no meeting with between Art and Dean. Mark Haddad testified in the hearing that he met with Dean the day before the hearing to come up with a plan of action and he presented evidence of what he said Dean had requested from him.

Bill stated that he had heard that was said at the hearing, but it was not true.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

Through further discussion, Mr. Bahr asked Bill to see if he could reach the judge to notify him of what has been going on and to see if he would be able to change his ruling to strengthen the order. He also asked Art to contact the Health Department about what has transpired and to find out what's going on with them.

Mr. Hambley noted that the Planning Commission has adopted the Transportation Task Force final report for incorporation within the updated Subdivision Regulations as an appendix. The JEPC is interested in a more formal adoption of the projects so that as the land within the surrounding area gets developed the builders, developers and landowners will have a clear indication of the need for those roadway connections. The involvement of the Commissioners to incorporate this into some kind of thoroughfare plan was brought up at the Planning Commission meeting. After talking with Dave Miller, County Engineer, he proposes asking the Planning Department to convene a meeting with the townships and County Engineer to provide some recommendations to the Commissioners regarding the Task Force plan as a thoroughfare plan. There are some projects already done and they don't need to be incorporated into the thoroughfare plan, and there are some within the City (of Medina) that reference can be made to but are not within the Commissioners jurisdiction. The City has already adopted this plan.

Mr. Bahr stated that given the amount of work and effort put forth he has not problem with this. The clock is ticking and if it hadn't been for Dave a lot of what has been accomplished to date would not have occurred. The townships were receptive to this and agreed to it and we should have something formalized. If something isn't done now we're going to have the projects and homes built and we're not going to be able to have a loop through our heavily industrialized area and we won't be able to get around the City. He noted the problems with the Smith Road/Route 18 access that was to be developed and the end results.

Jim Douth, Economic Development Corporation Director, stated that in review of the Task Force Map there are actually 2 subdivisions in the planning stage now that will impact one of the major collector streets. A subdivision in Lafayette Township that goes over to Montville Township is proposed at 266 homes. The number of homes in the subdivisions will create 3,000 to 5,000 vehicle trips a day just on Ryan Road. The collector streets would give us an east-west alternative just for that small portion of the recommendations. He noted that all 4 contiguous townships in addition to the county and city are represented at the JEPC.

Bill Thorne stated that once this is made a formal planning document Commissioners have to be willing to put up the money to get the land. Otherwise, once a piece is lost the entire plan may be lost. Possibly as the subdivisions come in the planner will agree to put the road in an area the county wants and it will only cost the county to upgrade it. As long as it is just an appendix in the Subdivision Regulations as a planning guide there is no commitment. If Commissioners commit to it and start building, there could be a half-loop done and the project could die if the Commissioners don't commit to buying or appropriating the right-of-ways. As the roads are developed and the gaps get smaller, the people owning the land will feel their land is more valuable.

It was noted that the City did complete their transaction on the south side of Route 18 and there is the land the county purchased on the north side. Relative to getting money towards this, Dave stated that his budget gets tighter just doing the maintenance of roads.

Mrs. Geissman stated that this should be made a part of a formal plan, but she is concerned about the funding involved and if there would be a problem if funding weren't available when needed.

Jim stated that having the formal plan shows the developer right up front that there is a plan. There was a meeting with the developer, Demund, of the Lafayette Township subdivision several months ago and he did come back with some concessions, such as considerably less curb cuts. If push comes to shove and the money isn't available, there is an opportunity for the county to "blink". The plan will at least give the county a leg up on the process initially when the developer comes in to talk about some things.

Bill stated that whether there would be a problem or not depends on where things are at in the proceeding with the plan. The State had a problem on 130<sup>th</sup> when they planned a project but never appropriated the property. When the State went forward with the project the court

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002

order them to pay interest back to when the plan was laid out because they had stopped the owner from developing his property. The County may have to buy land out in front or end up paying significant money on interest.

Mr. Bahr stated that he was talking to John about the possibility of selling bonds and using some RPTT money to pay for that, which means the initial money would have to be expended and could be paid over a period of time. Chris Jakab would need to review this to be sure it is feasible.

Mr. Hambley questioned the use of assessments as well to adjoining property owners because this increases the value of the land. This is an opportunity for the county to be able to tell developers that they can proceed with their planning but that the county has 120 days to work out the land use for public purposes and find a way to pay for it. Having a formal plan would enforce the opportunity for the county to look at this and put things together in terms of a public improvement.

Bill agreed that assessments could be done and he believes the adjoining property affected would be up to 1 or 2 miles from the improvement.

Mrs. Geissman stated that this would not just be a benefit to the county, and asked about spreading the cost out with the townships and city.

Bill stated that these would be county roads and the cost would be the county's.

Mr. Hambley stated that is true unless there is a CEDA or an agreement of that type. He is aware that there has been talk about a CEDA with some large parcels in York Township and that there is some preliminary text for that. Most likely this will involve some roadways that would end up being connectors and it may be likely to get participation by the city for those costs.

Bill stated that he understands that area will eventually be within the city limits and they're trying to funnel all development in that area.

Jim noted that a retention expansion survey that was done in conjunction with the Workforce Policy Board showed that of those siting problems, 71% were related to traffic. A lot of those companies are on the western side of the city and in the adjoining townships.

Mr. Bahr added that one study showed it takes 27 minutes to get from the industrial park to the interstate.

Mrs. Geissman feels the Commissioners do have a responsibility to help with this.

There was a discussion regarding the sections within the city where they have restricted roads, such as Reagan Parkway, that don't allow trucks through. Dave stated that if those roads stay restricted there is still a need for connectors such as from Marks Road to Route 18.

Jim confirmed that the City has bought into the Task Force recommendations.

Mr. Hambley stated that if the Planning Department convenes a meeting with the townships and County Engineer to make some recommendations, his interest would be in getting their priorities to see where the full effort of the Commissioners should be devoted and when push comes to shove to seriously consider obtaining the funds some way.

Bill stated that the township zoning people need to be involved in the meeting as well so that a conservation development is applied in the area a road is needed.

There was a discussion about maps that the townships have in their possession from the past about routes and that it is probably in our best interest to make the routes known again. It was noted that areas contiguous to the routes have developed and the residents didn't have the maps and are now becoming aware of the connectors and they aren't interested in having them.

**COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002**

Mr. Bahr agreed to direct the Planning Department to proceed with setting up a meeting with the townships and County Engineer.

Dave noted that if and when this is done the Planning Department should also look at the rest of the county. There are connectors in the Wadsworth area that the city has planned are they aren't on a thoroughfare plan. We need to make sure we're in line with all of the communities.

Mr. Hambley added that this will help in terms of the TID as they get more projects.

There was a discussion regarding upcoming term expirations on various boards and commissions. The Board wishes to reappoint the citizen representative on the Emergency Management Agency Executive Board and to reappoint those interested on continuing on the Workforce Policy Board. A resolution needs to be prepared to appoint Chris Easton as the municipal representative to NOACA with Jane Leaver as the alternate representative through the remainder of this year, with reappointment made at the first of the year for 2003. Patrice Theken is recommended for the Member At Large for the Western Reserve RC&D to complete the unexpired term and then to be appointed to a full term.

There were no further items for discussion.

Mr. Bahr moved to recess into Executive Session at 10:47 a.m. to discuss personnel/labor negotiations. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

At 11:15 a.m. Mr. Bahr moved to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

**RESOLUTIONS PASSED:**

02-0884 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE UPON THE TREASURER IN SETTLEMENT OF SUCH LIST OF CLAIMS

02-0885 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MEDINA COUNTY ENGINEER TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF TWO (2) NEW DUMP TRUCKS FOR THE MEDINA COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

02-0886 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE CONTRACT FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF CULVERT NOS. 27 & 28 ON MARKS ROAD (C.H. 22) BETWEEN LIBERTY EXCAVATING INC. & THE MEDINA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

02-0887 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGE ORDR NO. 1A TO THE CONTRACT FOR THE RECLAMATION OF CROW ROAD (C.H. 64) BETWEEN LYTLE CONSTRUCTION INC. AND THE MEDINA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

02-0888 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2002 ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION BY TRANSFERRING APPROPRIATIONS

02-0889 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION

02-0890 REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS FOR FAMILY FIRST COUNCIL HELP ME GROW FUND

02-0891 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF 3,000 GALLONS OF PREMIUM UNLEADED AND 4,000 GALLONS OF REGULAR UNLEADED GASOLINE FOR THE ENGINEERING CENTER

02-0892 APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF BEREA FOR INMATE HOUSING AT THE MEDINA COUNTY JAIL FACILITY

**COMMISSIONERS MEETING – TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2002**

02-0893 RESOLUTION APPROVING PERSONNEL CHANGES FOR THE EMPLOYEES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

02-0894 AUTHORIZING THE SANITARY ENGINEER TO OBTAIN EASEMENTS FOR VARIOUS SANITARY SEWER IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

02-0895 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A SEPTAGE DISPOSAL PROGRAM FOR THE MEDINA COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT #500 WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND ESTABLISHING DISPOSAL FEES

02-0896 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING SERVICES TO PROCEED WITH EXTRA PLANNING SERVICES FOR THE VILLAGE OF SEVILLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "OPERATING POLICIES & PROCEDURES OF THE MEDINA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING SERVICES" ...

02-0897 RESOLUTION REAPPOINTING A MEMBER TO THE MEDINA COUNTY CONVENTION & VISITOR'S BUREAU BOARD OF TRUSTEES

02-0898 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW EXPENSES OF COUNTY OFFICIALS

MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:

\_\_\_\_\_

Patricia G. Geissman

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_

Thomas R. Bahr

Pamela J. Terrill, Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

Stephen D. Hambley