

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

Patricia G. Geissman called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. with Thomas R. Bahr and Stephen D. Hambley present.

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance and a Prayer.

At the beginning of the meeting the oral reading of the minutes of October 28 and October 31 was dispensed with. Each Commissioner has read them personally. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the minutes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Dave Miller, County Engineer, stated they had no agenda for today.

Chris Jakab, Finance Director, presented and reviewed 6 resolutions involving amending the annual appropriations, various fund transfers, submission of a proposal for additional funding for the Office for Older Adults for Title III-B FY03 Programs, providing for the issuance and sale of Adult Jail Facility Construction Refunding Bonds, Series 2002 in the amount not to exceed \$3,870,000, providing for the issuance and sale of County Facilities Construction Bonds, Series 2002 in the amount not to exceed \$1,130,000, and the weekly bills in the amount of \$858,365.45. The OOA proposal for additional funding is based on information from the WRAAA that they have an additional \$32,772 available for Medina County that requires a match of \$2,052 for the various Title III-B programs. The refunding bonds for the Jail are for refinancing at a reduced interest rate. The estimated interest rate reduction is 2.55% that will show a savings of \$400,000 over the term of the bonds. The County Facilities Construction Bonds are for the outstanding notes for the FSA Building and New Horizons. The notes are due in December and the bonds are being tied into the Jail issuance to reduce the issuance costs.

Mr. Bahr complimented Chris for his work on the bonds and getting the lower interest rate for the County. The people of the county should be proud of having a Finance Director that looks into these issues and works to save the county money. Mrs. Geissman and Mr. Hambley agreed.

Mr. Bahr moved to approve the 6 Finance resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no further discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

John Stricker, County Administrator, presented and reviewed the personnel resolution. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the personnel changes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

John presented a resolution amending the Table of Organization for Job & Family Services (JFS) by adding an Eligibility Specialist 2. There is a person in a Medicaid position that is retiring next year, and the plan is to eliminate that position upon the retirement and replace it with the new position. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the amendment. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

John presented a resolution authorizing a change order for Lombardi Construction Inc. for the New Horizons Shelter Care Building for an increase of \$16,206. This is the only change order for the project and it covers a number of different issues such as installation of an ansul system over the range and connecting to the sanitary sewer in a different manner than originally planned. The building is now occupied. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the change order. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

John presented a resolution accepting and awarding bids for the Transit Garage Improvements. There were 2 bids received for this. The award is to Roetzel Construction in the amount of \$19,544 for the base bid. Mr. Bahr moved to accept and award the bids. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Ken Hotz, Sanitary Engineer, presented a resolution authorizing the advertisement for bids for sludge filter press plates, aluminum doors, metal doors and roll-up door for the Liverpool Wastewater Treatment Facility. This was discussed at last week's discussion session. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the advertisement. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

Ken presented a resolution accepting bids for bulk chemicals for the treatment facilities. He feels they are ready to award most of the chemical bids but they need additional time to review the carbon bids. One company gave a month's supply of carbon for trial use to see if it will work with our system. The bids will be awarded once their review is complete. Mr. Bahr moved to accept the bids. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Joyce Farnsworth, County Home Administrator, stated that they have 51 residents and they have 2 in the hospital. They have had a bout with the flu for the last 2 weeks, but everything appears to be better today. The tour of Homes will be on December 8. She noted that she will be in Columbus next month.

Dr. Ross Santamaria, Diversion & Forensic Services Director, stated that they are ahead of projections in fees and are handling between 150 to 200 cases. They have been able to go online through the ADAMH Board's system, which has been helpful. However, they cannot use the email with college students as they had hoped because of security issues. They will continue using phone calls and letters to keep in touch with those individuals. Through questioning, he stated that at any one time they have 15 college students in their program, which is less than 10% of the caseload. These are students that had a problem in our area and he has to keep in touch with them to make sure they are continuing in school and/or work. He noted one case where the gentleman is in the armed forces and it can be very interesting to keep in touch with him.

Mrs. Geissman presented a resolution authorizing the Transportation Services Director to issue a Request for Proposals for Job & Family Services supplemental transportation. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the RFP issuance. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mrs. Geissman presented and reviewed a resolution proclaiming November 2002 as "Alzheimer's Disease Awareness Month". Mr. Bahr moved to approve the proclamation. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The Clerk read the resolution to allow expenses of county officials. Mr. Bahr moved to allow the expenses. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The meeting was opened for public comment.

There was no one from the audience wishing to make comments.

Mrs. Geissman noted that recently the discussion sessions held by the Commissioners have been criticized. She wanted to clarify that these sessions were started about 10 years ago as a work session for the Board and it is difficult to do some business in the format of the hearing room. The discussion sessions are used as a work session and the public is welcome. The only time there is any public present, besides the press, is when there is a specific concern being raised. Anyone that requests to discuss something with the Commissioners is given the choice of being part of the formal session or the discussion session. There is no business taking place behind closed doors.

Mr. Hambley added that the discussion sessions are where Commissioners can meet with department heads about concerns, or go over maps or literature. The elevated platforms used for judges, etc. are also used by Commissioners in the hearing room for the formal functions of the meeting. The intent is to make the discussion sessions less formal while still making sure the meetings are public. The sessions are used to increase communication between the Commissioners and staff, and anyone else that wishes to be present. No one has ever been told they cannot speak during a discussion session.

There were no further comments.

Bill Thorne, Assistant Prosecutor, requested an Executive Session to discuss pending and threatened litigation. Mr. Bahr moved to recess into Executive Session for this purpose.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners reconvened the meeting for the discussion session at 10:15 a.m.

John Stricker stated that the Fair Board has requested the ability to rent out the Cowden property that was purchased next to the fairgrounds. They would like the rent to go towards renovating the house and property enhancement. The lease requires the Fair Board to discuss anything dealing with this property with the Commissioners.

Chris noted that the county filed for tax exemption for the property stating that it would be used for parking expansion for the fairgrounds. That would have to be modified and the rental income would have to be declared.

Mr. Bahr noted that the Fair Board, not the County, would get the money for the rental.

There was a brief discussion that property taxes would have to be paid and the county's liability should be looked into before approving renting this property out. Commissioners agreed that a representative or two from the Fair Board should be at next week's discussion session to review this.

Dave Miller stated that a letter from David Brown, attorney for the owners of the Badowski property has requested a one-month extension for the viewing and hearing regarding the Carr Road vacation. This is currently scheduled for Tuesday, November 12. Mr. Brown would like time to try to negotiate a settlement with those that signed the petition to vacate and to review some issues concerning the route and its history.

Bill Thorne added that Summit County has also requested additional time to do further research on their road records.

Dave recommended that due to the upcoming holiday season the hearing be postponed until late February or March in order to allow enough time for all issues to be researched properly. He recommended the date of March 17 for the viewing and hearing.

Mr. Bahr moved to approve changing the viewing and hearing date for the petition to vacate Carr Road from November 12, 2002 to March 17, 2003. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no further discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

A notice will be sent immediately to the Gazette and to those notified previously of the November 12 viewing and hearing.

Bill stated that Mr. Brown's office was also going to have easements turned into the Commissioners' Office involving Canyon Creek. Mike Salay, Assistant County Engineer, has reviewed these and feels the modifications made will be beneficial. The easements have not been received in the office today, but should be over sometime this week. They request that the easements be approved. Commissioners agreed that the easements would be considered at next Tuesday's meeting.

There were no further items for the discussion session.

Commissioners recessed the meeting at 10:22 a.m.

Mr. Bahr left for an appointment at this time. Mrs. Geissman and Mr. Hambley left with Mike Salay to view the Western Gale Subdivision of Fox Meadows regarding changing the status of Aberdeen Lane, Maidstone Lane and Montouk Point from private to public streets.

At 11:15 a.m. Commissioners reconvened the meeting with Mrs. Geissman and Mr. Hambley present for the public hearing on the petition to change the status of Aberdeen Lane, Maidstone Lane and Montouk Point from private to public streets.

An attendance sheet is attached at the end of the minutes as Exhibit A.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

Dave Miller, County Engineer, and Mike Salay, Assistant County Engineer, were present.

Mike reviewed the Engineer's report, which is attached at the end of the minutes as Exhibit B. Mike reviewed the background and findings within the report as well as their conclusions and recommendations. The roadway geometry doesn't meet the turning radius of the county standards due to an island at the entrance and at Maidstone Lane. He reviewed the map showing the sharp curve, noting that normally a bubble cul-de-sac would be built, and that the horizontal alignment criteria for this is a 200' radius and this is a 42 ½' radius. The condition of the storm sewers and the thickness of the pavement is unknown because the Engineer's Office was not involved in the inspections at the time they were installed. There are 6 conditions that would have to be met to establish the roadways as public roads. 1) Since 1981 assessments have been applied to each lot within a subdivision for a fund that is only used within that phase to maintain storm sewers. The assessment is based on a percentage of the cost of the original improvements and generally run between \$70 to \$100 per lot per year. There is a cap on what can be collected and placed in the fund and once it reaches 20% of the original cost it is not collected. 2) Cores are needed at various locations of the pavement to confirm the materials that were used and the thickness of the pavement. 3) The entrance island needs to be removed or reconstructed to meet the geometry standards. 4) The cul-de-sac bulb on Maidstone Lane needs to be constructed to eliminate the centerline radius that doesn't meet county standards. There is an access easement in that section which appears that it was anticipated that this could be done at the time of construction or in the future. It also appears that there are zoning setbacks within that area where the bulb needs to be constructed. 5) Monument assemblies need to be installed in the pavement. These are pins at the point of curvature that are cored into the pavement when it is completed and the final top is placed. 6) The Township needs to furnish some evidence that the subdivision is in compliance with their zoning and this has been discussed with the Trustees.

The hearing was opened for comments.

Chris Brooks, Maidstone Lane, asked if it wouldn't make sense to make 2 roads instead of creating a cul-de-sac bulb.

Mike stated that right now it is a road with a sharp curve. When a bulb is created essentially a type of intersection is created. The road would have the same name but it would be functioning more as an intersection, which gives more turning room. The additional turning room is for people that go too fast on the straightway into that curve. Through further questioning by Mr. Brooks, Mike stated that renaming the street would not change the geometry of the road. The radius is not just assuming someone is going too fast, but it's a matter of being able to negotiate the turns.

Mr. Brooks noted that school buses come down the road every day.

Dan O'Connor, Aberdeen Lane, asked if the bulb is essential or if there could be a variance to eliminate that.

Mike stated that in order to meet the county standards it would have to be constructed and it would take a variance to the Engineering Code. The likelihood of obtaining that easement is based on a separate process through the Board of Commissioners and it probably would not be the recommendation of the Engineer to grant that. Although Mr. O'Connor has not had a problem negotiating the turn, the problem is that there is a big difference between a 40' radius and a 200' radius. Building a bulb is probably the most effective way to fix this.

Through questioning by Commissioners, Mike stated that he believes the association is the owner of the street. The lots are platted to what would be the normal right-of-way and then the land within that is either still the developers or the associations. Although the road wasn't built that way, there is a driveway and house on the lot. Installing a stop sign instead of building the area would be a Band-Aid approach.

Jerry Salopo, builder and owner of the majority of lots, stated that he didn't put in the streets and doesn't know how the bulb didn't happen. The lots don't belong to the association. He thought the bulb was there and asked if it would be a hazard with people swinging into the corner with a bulb. As a safety issue stop signs would kind of monitor the traffic and control people from speeding. He asked if the bulb would really help alleviate a problem.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

Another asked if Lot 011 could be peeled off on the corner.

Mike stated that there is an unbuilt lot in the area. Increasing the turning radius could be done, which is where the bulk of the problem is. Peeling off Lot 011 would basically make it unbuildable.

Brian Tucker, Aberdeen Lane, stated that all of the residents want to do whatever it takes to get the status of the roads changed. People already make that turn carefully because it is a sharp turn. He hasn't seen anybody go too fast there. He feels stop signs would be acceptable and would be a more common sense way of doing this as opposed to tearing up lots, yard or sprinkling systems.

Another gentleman noted that Lot 011 has a major sewer drain and gas line and it would take major excavation in that area.

Mike stated that there are underground utilities that would be affected as well as grading especially on the driveway/yard of the lot with a house on it. It would be a major change

Mr. Hambley asked if some type of engineering drawings could be required of proposed corrections as part of the review process.

Mike stated that if the Commissioners would like they could look at that particular area to see if there are any other options other than reconstructing the bulb that they could recommend to the Board. A couple of things have been mentioned that could be explored. Some would require a variance.

A gentleman stated that he is a homeowner in the area and is willing to work with whatever makes it easier, and he feels stop signs would be the easiest.

Terry May, Aberdeen Lane, stated that he feels the same, although he feels a variance on the corner would be the least painful method of fixing the problem. He would like to see the Commissioners vote positively on any changes to be made that would get the roads turned into public streets.

Another gentleman noted that Mrs. Geissman has said stop signs appear to be the most affective and easiest way. He asked Mr. Hambley what he thinks.

Mr. Hambley stated that he would like to hear what the Engineer has to say about other options. There may be a alternative that would make it more in compliance. The problem he has is that this is a private street that was not built to the county standards and was not inspected by the county. The whole design went through Planning and the Township as a private street. Even though it required a bulb that wasn't built, we have other subdivisions that can come in from other areas and make some of the same claims. The trustees in those townships are not looking forward to Commissioners turning all those roads back into township roads or to circumvent the engineering process. There is a reason why our Engineer establishes standards and if there are unusual circumstances we can take those into consideration. We don't want to create a backdoor that circumvents our engineering code. It has to be a variance circumstances or unusual circumstances. He needs to hear more before he makes a judgment. He showed the amount of material Commissioners have on this versus what they had for Emerald Lake a year ago. The county does its research, looks at it and evaluates all the details to make a judgment on whether it's appropriate or not to work towards this becoming a public road. He wants to heard from the Engineer and also find out how this will impact any other subdivisions that might have the same type of design.

A gentleman noted that 2 Montville Trustees are present and asked if, for the purpose of the hearing and the residents, if they could say how they feel.

Pat Ryan, Trustee, stated that she agree with Mr. Hambley. There are other private roads in the township and she would like to know if this will affect any other roads within the township in a variance situation. Although those are done on an individual basis it sets precedence. She wants to make sure it's not going to lead everyone else on private roads to come in later and

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

make this type of request. She noted that the decision shouldn't affect their cluster developments because there are different circumstances.

Mr. Hambley noted that there was a statement that school buses go down the street. He understood that Medina City Schools will not permit their buses on private streets.

Several responded that it is a regular bus for a girl with MS.

Chuck Reid, Trustee, stated that points have been made that needed to be made and he believes Commissioners will make a decision when all the facts are known.

Shelly Wingard, Aberdeen Lane, stated that they are on a street that they didn't know was private and they don't want to be on a private street. This was never discussed and they didn't sign anything knowing this was a private street. There is a lot involved, including money with being on a private street. Legally if it's not turned over the residents will be on a private street that they didn't know was private. Nobody can seem to answer how this happened.

Another gentleman stated that whether it is Emerald Lakes or this development, there is a point where unbeknownst to those that bought property at some point the streets were determined to be private. In any development that the roads can meet the criteria or can meet them under a variance and the homeowners/property owners want it to become public, he doesn't feel there should be any worry about setting precedence. Just because something is filed in 1998 that determined these are private streets, if they can be brought up to code, he doesn't see that there should be any difference in the status.

Mr. Hambley stated that there could be a lot of complications in terms of planning and development. For every mile of road made public there is added burden, in this case, to the township. The financial cost is shifted to the township that they had not planned for. They incorporated that into their decision to allow the private road when it went through the design process. Understanding that everyone pays taxes and everyone is using townships roads. Even on private roads you eventually use township, county or state routes. Township trustees have to deal with those issues when they are planning for a community, particularly Montville with its growth. They had problems with their road levy and where the money will come from for that. It becomes increasingly important as to a potential liability as to how many private roads are out there that could eventually go public. In Emerald Lakes some latitude was given in terms of frontages, offsets, etc. because they were private roads. Basically they were in non-compliance with the zoning if the roads became public. There are larger lots in this situation. That is why every issue is reviewed as to their impact on planning and future development as well as potential liabilities of increasing costs within Montville. It's your tax dollars that pay for road improvements for the current township roads. The question is how much more you want to load onto a boat that's basically sinking. Townships have a fixed budget and adding more mileage on their costs is one they have to try to manage, and obviously go to the voters if they need additional funds.

The gentleman asked Mike if during the investigation of options if speed bumps would be something that would help the situation.

Mike stated that in this part of the country speed bumps wouldn't be an option that they would consider. A couple of things have been brought up and looking at the radius needs to be looked into further. The enlargement was something they were comfortable recommending to the Board and it won't affect the buildability of that lot on the inside curve. There are also underground utilities that have to be considered. These are all things that need to be studied.

Another gentleman asked if the entrance island could be made narrower instead of removal.

Mike stated that they may be able to work on the radius in front, but there would still be the flare outs on either side. Removing the island solves the problems. Through further questioning, Mike stated that they will probably come back with 2-3 options for that with a recommendation. He agreed that proposals could be submitted for review by their office. Further study will be done based on this hearing and the hearing can be continued to a future date to review additional information.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

Mrs. Geissman and Mr. Hambley agreed that the hearing can be continued. At this point there are some questions, and the more information provided the better off the Board is to make a better decision. Sharing off the one corner lot may or may not impact zoning.

Mike noted that the lot is pretty good sized and something may be able to be done without affecting zoning.

A gentleman stated that the reason they are here is to see if there is a workable solution. The questions being raised have had an impact on sales. He asked about the redesign of the island.

Mike stated that there are a number of options that will be worked on.

Another gentleman asked about the procedures of notifying the residents of the next hearing and the likelihood of a vote being taken at that time.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it would depend on what kind of options are brought to the Board and the sentiment of those contributing to the different options. If something is brought to the Board that needs more investigation or more time is needed to consider something there would have to be another hearing. Residents would be made aware of the proceedings.

Mr. Hambley stated that the Board has some latitude to make sure all of the issues are worked out. Some items would be conditions, such as the storm sewer system being videoed and the asphalt depths being checked.

Mike added that the vide and depth tests can be initiated at any time and it might not be a bad idea to has some of those done prior to the next hearing.

There was a discussion with some of the Township officials present that their zoning doesn't allow for bulbs (eyebrows). When these were platted as private roads the Township didn't inspect them. They will need to review this with Bill Thorne, Assistant Prosecutor.

A gentleman asked if changes can be made to bring these into compliance how much weight does it carry that others on private streets may request to have their roads become public streets. Although he doesn't feel it should have any, he asked if this is a major part of the decision or if it carries 10% or 15% of everything considered.

Mr. Hambley stated that he brought that up to explain why the Board doesn't arbitrarily say that if you want it the road will be made public. It's part of the equation but there's no point system. There are other conditions that have to be looked at.

The gentleman asked if those other streets had been public roads in the beginning if they would be there now. He assumes those projects would not be there now if they had been public streets.

Mr. Hambley stated that some of them would have looked totally different as they would have the same design because of zoning and density. Some were given set backs less than normal zoning because they were private. Each individual item has to be taken into consideration. The Board's decision will be whether it complies with the Engineering Code as well as other major factors. On the issue of notification to property owners when they purchase, there are public documents that indicate whether they are on private or public roads. Most individuals don't research that and that's one of the reason the new Subdivision Code the county will be adopting requires a private street to have a sign saying it is a private.

A Township representative stated that they put it in their zoning because in a couple of subdivisions it has been brought up that people didn't know they were buying on a private street. They now require the streets signs to list the street name and that they are private and any temporary subdivision signs going up have to state the lots are on private roads. The Township has no control over what a builder or developer tells the prospective buyer. This has been put in their zoning regulations and into the new County Subdivision Regulations to help alleviate this problem.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

A lady stated that it's a little late for these people. She has 3 children that go to 3 different schools and she didn't want to get on a street that no school buses drive on. It's very dark on those streets. They would have wanted to know this was a private street when they purchased.

Mrs. Geissman noted that there have been some problems and the Township Trustees realized and have made some changes to try to alleviate that problem.

A gentleman asked about the bulb and asked why the Township doesn't want those.

Ms. Ryan stated that basically it is for plowing. The bulb is extra wide and they have to make 2 passes. At the advise of their maintenance crew they decided to see if they could restrict those.

A gentleman complimented the Trustees for taking action to eliminate any potential confusion in the future because there will be more development in Montville Township. In the meantime it would please the people in this room (on these streets) to work cooperatively to get this issue solved in a way to meet everybody's standards.

Mrs. Geissman stated that the Board looks forward to the different options, and that anyone having some ideas should share them with Mike for review. Residents will be notified of the next hearing.

There was a discussion about Hunter Field Drive and Hounds Run Drive across Fox Meadow Drive that has a bulb (eyebrow). This may have been done with a variance on this Township road. There is an island in that bulb and that could be why it was allowed because it takes away the maintenance problem by eliminating the second pass over by the snowplow. There was some discussion on whether the radius was larger or smaller on this turn. Ms. Ryan stated that seeing how to handle this is something they need to talk to the Assistant Prosecutor about.

In response to questions about a timeline for this, Mike stated that it will be close to a month before the information would be available as there's quite a few things that need to be looked at, particularly if they try to do some cores, sampling and inspections.

Dave Miller suggested that due to the time of the year and upcoming holidays that the next hearing be held off until after the first of the year.

There were no further comments.

Mr. Hambley moved to recess the public hearing and to continue the hearing to a date to be determined. Seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no further discussion. Roll Call showed both Commissioners voting AYE.

Mr. Hambley moved to adjourn the meeting at 12:12 p.m. Seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed both Commissioners voting AYE.

At 1:30 p.m. bids were received for 2 dump trucks for the County Engineer from Dexter Company, Newell Equipment, Ace Truck Equipment, International Truck Sales & Service, Wise International Trucks, West Gate Ford Truck Sales, Fallsway Equipment Company, and Concord Road Equipment Mfg. Inc. The bids were turned over to the County Engineer's Office for review and recommendation.

RESOLUTIONS PASSED:

02-0946 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE UPON THE TREASURER IN SETTLEMENT OF SUCH LIST OF CLAIMS

02-0947 RESOLUTION PROCLAIMING NOVEMBER 2002 AS "ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE AWARENESS MONTH"

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2002

02-0948 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2002 ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION BY TRANSFERRING APPROPRIATIONS

02-0949 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM THE CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT FUND TO THE COUNTY GENERAL FUND FOR DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT SERVICES

02-0950 APPROVING THE SUBMISSION OF A PROPOSAL FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE OFFICE FOR OLDER ADULTS TITLE III-B FY03 PROGRAMS

02-0951 RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE & SALE OF ADULT JAIL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2002, IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,870,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF...AN ADULT JAIL FACILITY...TO REFUND THE OUSTANDING BONDS

02-0952 RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE & SALE OF COUNTY FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION BONDS, SERIES 2002, IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,130,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE COSTS...BUILDINGS TO HOUSE GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS & OFFICES...

02-0953 RESOLUTION APPROVING PERSONNEL CHANGES FOR THE EMPLOYEES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

02-0954 RESOLUION AMENDING THE TABLE OF ORGANIZATION FOR MEDINA COUNTY JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES

02-0955 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CHANGE ORDER FOR LOMBARDI CONSTRUCTION INC. FOR MEDINA COUNTY NEW HORIZONS SHELTER CARE BUILDING

02-0956 RESOLUTION ACCEPTING AND AWARDDING BIDS FOR THE MEDINA COUNTY TRANSIT GARAGE IMPROVEMENTS

02-0957 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SANITARY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT TO ADVERTISE FOR BID FOR SLUDGE FILTER PRESS PLATES, ALUMINUM DOORS, METAL DOORS, AND ROLL-UP DOOR TO BE UTILIZED AT THE LIVERPOOL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

02-0958 RESOLUTION ACCEPTING BIDS FOR BULK CHEMICALS TO BE UTILIZED AT THE MEDINA COUNTY TREATMENT FACILITIES

02-0959 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSPORTATION SERVICES DIRECTOR TO ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES SUPPLEMENTAL TRANSPORTATION

02-0960 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW EXPENSES OF COUNTY OFFICIALS

MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:

Patricia G. Geissman

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas R. Bahr

Pamela J. Terrill, Clerk

Stephen D. Hambley

