

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

Stephen D. Hambley called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. with Sharon A. Ray and Patricia G. Geissman present.

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance and a prayer.

Approval of the June 26 regular meeting minutes and the June 14 special meeting minutes were tabled until each Commissioner could read them personally.

County Engineer Mike Salay presented and reviewed the following resolutions: (1) approving the annual assessment on improvements constructed under the authority of Section 6131.63 Ohio Revised Code on 17.6682 acres of land in Tract 1, Lot 10, of Brunswick Hills Township known as Vilnius Farms Subdivision Phase I establishing thereby said improvements as a public watercourse; (2) approving annual assessment on improvements constructed under the authority of Section 6131.63 Ohio Revised Code on 104.5465 acres of land in Lot 28 of Sharon Township known as Estates of Woodfield Subdivision establishing thereby said improvements as a public watercourse; (3) approving use of Subdivision Draining Maintenance Fund for repair work in Reserve Subdivision Phase 1 in Medina Township; (4) approving use of Subdivision Draining Maintenance Fund for repair work in Reserve Subdivision Phase 2B in Medina Township; (5) approving use of Subdivision Drainage Maintenance Fund for repair work in Golden Ridge Farms Subdivision in Wadsworth Township; (6) approving use of Subdivision Draining maintenance Fund for repair work in Wakefield Run Phase 3 and 4 in Hinckley Township; and, (7) approving use of Subdivision Drainage Maintenance Fund for repair work in Reserve Subdivision Phase 3B in Medina Township. Ms. Ray moved to approve the seven resolutions; seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners reviewed the weekly permits list for June 22 through June 28, 2006.

Chris Jakab, Finance Director/Acting County Administrator, presented and reviewed the following resolutions: (1) amending the annual appropriation resolution; (2) authorizing a cash transfer for the Capital Improvement Fund; (3) authorizing a cash transfer for the County Home Levy Fund; (4) authorizing a cash transfer for the Comprehensive Planning Grant Program; (5) authorizing the County Auditor to transfer funds from the County General Fund to the Public Assistance Fund for the County mandated share; (6) authorizing the County Auditor to transfer funds from the Children Services IV-E Fund to the Public Assistance Fund for Children Services (IVE) administrative expenditures; (7) authorizing the County Auditor to transfer funds from the Children Services SCPA Fund to the Public Assistance Fund for SCPA administration expenditures; (8) authorizing the purchase of 8,000 gallons of regular unleaded gasoline for the Engineering Center; (9) entering into an agreement with the Medina County branch of the Young Men's Christian Association of Akron, Ohio for use of space at the old Achievement Center; (10) authorizing Medina Building Maintenance to advertise for bids for tuckpointing at various buildings; (11) accepting and awarding the bid for a real estate tax accounting software system; (12) reaffirming joint board actions relating to the Boston Road improvement projects; (13) adoption of the 2007 tax budget; and, (14) approving an agreement for alternate Chief Building Official services for the Medina County Building Department. He also requested payment of the weekly bills in the amount of \$785,634.96. Ms. Ray moved to approve payment of the 14 finance resolutions and payment of the weekly bills; seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE on the Finance resolutions and payment of the weekly bills.

Holly Muren, Human Resources Administrator, presented a resolution approving personnel changes for employees under the jurisdiction of the Medina County Commissioners. Ms. Ray moved to approve the personnel changes; seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

Jim Troike, Assistant County Sanitary Engineer, presented a resolution authorizing the release of the escrow account of Fechko Excavating, Inc. for the Transfer and Medina Township waterline project for 2005 MCSE #W-500/00-7.1.23. Ms. Ray motioned to approve the resolution; seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

County Home Superintendent Lynn Remington reported that there were seven inquiries for residency and four for adult daycare. Admissions were one residency and one adult daycare. Since there has been much publicity, many people have been visiting the County Home. Maintenance work included changing the supervisor's office and checking the electric and air conditioning. Volunteers have been performing painting, yard work, maintaining the flower gardens, cleaning inside and outside of vehicles, window washing, and laundry and kitchen cleaning and maintenance. The backflow to the boiler and water was upgraded, batteries replaced in the fire alarm box, and fire alarm phone lines were repaired. Inspections included backflow, elevator, and fire. There was a fire drill. The County Health Department issued an honorable mention award for food operations. Fire extinguisher training was given in June; CPR training will be given in July. Activities included a Fathers' Day picnic, Aeros game (compliments of Medina Rotary), and the Fourth of July picnic. Donations included a pool table, a lasagna and chicken salad dinner from Medina City Schools, hundreds of flower bulbs from Home Depot, a recliner, a microwave, four quilts, snacks from Microsoft, and an HP hard drive. Friends of the County Home installed 16 window shades in the Activity Room. There were 69 volunteers who worked 387 hours in the month of June.

Dog Warden Del Saffle reported that the new truck is now being utilized and that the department is especially busy during the summer months. They participated in the Blue Tip Festival Parade in Wadsworth and gave a tour and presentation to about 40 children from the Nurtury Daycare Center.

Buck Adams, Emergency Management Director, reported that all 26 communities have adopted the County Mitigation Plan; there may be some needed language changes ("support" versus "adopt"); therefore, the County will be eligible for mitigation funding to continue projects. The adopted Mitigation Plan raises the rate from 7½% to 20% mitigation dollars. His counterpart in Huron County reported that they are estimating \$8 million. Huron County gets "first choice"; if they don't use it, the money becomes available to any county in the State. Medina County has always applied and taken those extra dollars; that is how several projects have been completed (for example, the buyouts in Gloria Glens and Westfield Township and the upgrading of lightening protection for the County's communication system). Commissioner Geissman said she was surprised that a couple of the communities only supported the Plan (instead of adopted) because the County's letter and form were clear.

Clerk Pam Vereb read the resolution to allow expenses of County officials. Ms. Ray moved to allow the expenses; seconded by Mrs. Geissman. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mr. Hambley reported that a regular annexation petition was filed on June 30 for 9.12 acres from Wadsworth Township to the City of Wadsworth. The hearing for this annexation is scheduled for September 5, 2006, at 10:15 a.m.

The meeting was opened for public comment.

Jean Bosak from Brunswick asked why Commissioners were considering a replacement levy instead of a renewal levy. She stated that she has spoken with several people who do not understand why they should be paying taxes for the County Home if the residents are not paying the full amount. Steve Hambley answered that the Commissioners set the rate; those that can afford to pay the entire amount, do so. Those that cannot, pay on the basis of their resources.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

Lynn Remington noted that the rate is \$1645 a month; if the resident has the resources, they pay that amount plus any additional costs. Commissioner Sharon Ray stated that the rate has been evaluated every year for the past three years, but the previous 14 years were not; therefore, there was a deficit situation. Commissioner Ray noted that the County Home Advisory Committee has taken a very aggressive position in getting the rate closer to the actual cost per person; however, the actual cost changes on a daily basis depending on residency numbers. Mrs. Bosak stated that the current rate is about what her utilities cost per month. She stated that the County Home monthly rate includes room, utilities, meals, and medical care. Lynn Remington answered that residents pay for medical care separately. Commissioner Pat Geissman stated that based on last year's occupancy, the average cost per person is \$1859.

Commissioner Hambley stated that, historically, the County has never charged the average cost because the County Home is a public facility that is subsidized. Mrs. Bosak said she feels that it is subsidized too much by the Commissioners. She and others would be more than happy to pay \$1645 a month; however, they are required to go through the Office of Older Adults (OOA). Commissioner Hambley stated that they don't need to go through another agency and that there are vacancies, even at the current rate. Mrs. Bosak stated that perhaps people don't know about the County Home rates. She stated that Jo Becks didn't know how much residents were paying. Commissioner Geissman reported that Jo and Emily Muscatello were at an OOA meeting and questioned her because they were not aware that the rate set by the Commissioners was not the actual cost. Commissioner Hambley stated that he did not think that was accurate. Emily Muscatello stated that she reviewed previous notes and was never aware that the actual cost was \$1859. She asked at the next County Home Advisory Council meeting whether residents who could afford to pay \$1859 should be paying \$1,859. This has been taken under advisement by the Advisory Council. Ms. Muscatello said that it could have been a case of not completely understanding it clearly. If people have the money to pay in full; it is not different from anything else in life. If they can pay - they should pay. They will still be taken care of when their money runs out. It is a matter of getting their money now or later. As part of the Advisory Council, it is important to say to the taxpayers that the resident is paying what it really costs to be at the County Home and then they are still going to continue to live there. Ms. Muscatello continued that she, as well as Joe Becks, can understand both sides of the argument.

Commissioner Geissman stated that one of the comments made at the Advisory meeting last month was that there is a difference whether they pay it now or later. If they are paying it now, they are paying. If they should pass on or move out of the County Home, the taxpayers have been subsidizing them almost \$300 a month that could have coming from their own funds. This upset about 30 Senior Citizens at that meeting. If residents have the ability to pay the full cost of living at the County Home (a figure supplied by the Finance Director), they should be paying it. If a resident is then unable to pay the full amount because they run out of money, then they are subsidized by the taxpayers. At the present time, the Advisory Committee is asking for a replacement levy, which is an increase in taxes. Commissioner Geissman said that she cannot support that because the actual cost is not being collected; until that is done, she won't support an increase in that tax. Commissioner Hambley asked if she would support a renewal and she answered that she would; she would hope that the Advisory Council (when they went up to the \$1,580 rate), said they would do everything they could to get more residents to bring more funding in to bring the average cost down. However, whatever the average is, she hopes that the Advisory Committee will look at it and represent the taxpayers by collecting the actual cost from the residents. Years ago the actual cost may not have been collected; however, the former director increased the rate about \$25 a month. She did not realize they were not charging enough to cover the costs, but at that time there were very few who had the funds to pay the actual cost. Now there are 12 residents who can afford to pay the actual cost. Mr. Hambley asked Mrs. Geissman how many of those 12 were ADAMH Board residents. Mrs. Geissman said five are ADAMH Board, but they still have the ability to pay. Mr. Hambley noted that the individuals that are qualified by ADAMH Board are indigent and cannot personally afford to pay through State and federal funding. The County subsidizes the ADAMH Board who basically pays for

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

their stay. The purpose of the levy was to provide support for that agency as well. It makes little sense to charge the full rate to the ADAMH Board. Mrs. Geissman said it is the best rate they could possibly get anywhere else.

Commissioner Geissman said, regarding the replacement levy, she hopes the County Home won't have the extreme expenses in capital improvements that they had the last time. A renewal is all that is necessary at this time. Commissioner Hambley said this is premature and that is why the Advisory Council is taking a look at the budget.

Tanya Rogers stated that everyone is forgetting who the County Home serves. The County Home can't be compared to an apartment building or a hotel because it is not either. The County Home serves people who are at level of a need of care that doesn't need Medicaid certification for a nursing home, but would not be safe in their own homes. Medicaid doesn't pay for assisted living. There may be a small number that could afford another facility and have chosen to live at the Medina County Home; however, the majority would be subsidized in the community much more heavily than they are at the County Home. If they weren't staying at the County Home, their housing, meals, and healthcare would still need to be subsidized in the community and most likely at a higher rate than a congregate setting.

Commissioner Geissman stated that the few Ms. Rogers mentioned is what the group is speaking about. No matter the number, if they can pay the full amount, they should be paying it. Ms. Rogers said that the big debate is ascertaining what the "full amount" is and that it varies. Commissioner Hambley said that the rate is determined every year. Commissioner Geissman said that the rate determined this year is less than the full cost; Commissioner Hambley stated that it has always been determined to be less every year.

Brian Feron stated that this could also look like the gas prices, if taken to that extreme. The costs fluctuate every month. If someone tries to address this on a month-to-month basis, there will be a fluctuating rate. Commissioner Geissman said that it doesn't need to be a month-to-month rate – only a yearly rate as has always been done.

Commissioner Ray commented that it wasn't always done on an annual basis and that Commissioner Geissman had stated that she had never known what the actual costs were in contrast to the rates set. Commissioner Geissman said that the rate was increased every year, approximately \$25. Ms. Ray stated that for every year for the last three years, it has been increased much more excessively than \$25. The Advisory Committee has jumped hurdles to get the cost closer and closer to the actual cost. The budgeting numbers are guidelines only. There was a two-tiered rate system two years ago and there was a tremendous gap (over \$500). The Committee has come to one rate and they are closer and closer to the actual cost rate and have introduced new programs (i.e., the adult daycare) that could provide decent revenue streams. The Committee has earned the taxpayers' trust and respect in what they've done – things that should have been done five, ten, or fifteen years ago. They have shouldered the brunt of that, making all of these decisions, and bringing the rate up very close to the actual cost.

Commissioner Geissman stated that the cost that was established in 2002 after the levy was passed was \$1580 and it remained until last year when everyone was brought up to that amount (several had been paying only \$1000). This year is the first time that it was raised past the \$1,580 rate since 2002.

Ken Miller read the letter he wrote to the Commissioners dated June 5 that clarified the Advisory Committee's reasoning that it is more responsible to place a replacement levy on the November ballot.

Al Junke stated that he understood that much discussion is that this is a fee-based operation as opposed to being subsidized by the County. He asked if other County departments are fee-based, but subsidized, such as the Building Department. Sharon Ray replied that the

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

Building Department is completely self-sufficient, as well as Animal Shelter and Child Support. Steve Hambley stated that the Planning Department isn't, as well as the Office for Older Adults. Pat Geissman stated that sewer and water rates are based on actual costs; Commissioner Hambley stated that those are enterprise funds. Chris Jakab noted that Transit is also subsidized.

Brian Feron stated that the Council has worked very hard on this issue and appreciates the impact of any tax on everyone, including seniors. However, Council can attest to how well the money has been spent, the capital improvements were extraordinarily high because of the "catch-up" mode and the Home is now in good shape. People have worked hard to create awareness that this facility is open to anyone in the County and isn't for just one group of people – there are young people, middle-aged people, and seniors. In his opinion, the County Home is one of the "crown jewels" of the County and that everyone should be very proud to have a facility like this and not oppose it. Mrs. Bosak stated that she is only opposed to a replacement levy. She asked what the cost was for bringing the building and grounds "up to par" and how much of that was spent from the levy. Ms. Remington said it was \$300,000. Mrs. Bosak said that was an extra \$300,000 that would be available because the building and grounds are taken care of. Steve Hambley stated that it's an old building and continues to develop problems. There was never a depreciation account set up for the County Home. He noted that the levy pays for all of the operating expenses.

Sharon Ray, speaking to Mrs. Bosak, stated that the budget for capital improvements is \$25,000 every year. The difference between and replacement and renewal levy is 50¢ a year per \$100,000 valuation. Mrs. Bosak stated that the taxpayer does not know this and asked how they will be informed. Steve Hambley stated that a fact sheet will go to every Medina County homeowner and information is posted on the website. He noted that the County Home Advisory Council has done a wonderful job making recommendations and looking at costs. Brian Feron noted that the meetings are held the last Wednesday of every month and they are public meetings held at the County Home.

Pat Geissman stated that the difference may be only 50¢, but people comment that it's on top of the additional \$100 here or \$60 there. There should be enough funding without an increase. Let it be a renewal because people support the County Home. She can't imagine that there would be a need for the number of capital improvements that were done in the last five years as the major problems have now been corrected.

Steve Hambley asked Commissioner Geissman that if the Commissioners agreed to a renewal, would she be willing to guarantee that the Commissioners would provide any needed balance for capital improvements from the General Fund. She answered that a levy should cover all of the expenses. Commissioner Hambley asked if something were to happen that more capital improvement monies were needed, would she be willing to provide funds. Commissioner Geissman said that in an emergency, of course she would; however, it would have to be an emergency. Brian Feron noted that bringing an elevator up to code could run many thousands of dollars; there could be many unforeseen expenses. They do not know what the next government mandate might be. The Advisory Council took unpredictable expenses very seriously – for instance, the price of healthcare. If someone would run a household like this, they would be headed for trouble. The purpose of the Advisory Council is to examine everything. They did that, and a replacement levy is the best route for the taxpayer, employees of the County Home, and everyone else concerned.

Pat Geissman stated that she would have no problem supporting a replacement levy if Commissioners had made a decision to charge County Home residents the actual cost to live at the Home. It's very difficult for her to look at the taxpayers in the eyes and say that yes, we have collected everything available to us before taxes were increased. Until the name of the County Home is changed, you'll never have 65 residents because there is a stigma to a "county home". No one wants to live at a county home because it's still considered the poor

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

house. Even though there is a mixture of ages there with different types of needs, some people still have a problem even though the rates are reasonable. She had expressed the need for a name change about a year ago in a letter.

Lynn Remington stated they considered taking a survey at the September 10 activity. Steve Hambley noted that there are only 60 beds. He stated that they were comparing “apples to oranges” when comparing the Home to the market because the County Home setting is congregate (no private or semi-private bathrooms) and that many people come to the County Home after spending all of their resources at another facility. While the County Home is wonderful, there are other facilities more attractive. Pat Geissman stated that she had been told by the former Superintendent that the capacity was 65 and that there are single rooms now. Lynn Remington stated that there have always been single and double rooms and they are very small rooms. The capacity was changed to 60 residents by Council.

Al Junke commented that he pays \$1582 in taxes; \$5.29 of that goes to the County Home and \$67 goes to General Revenue. The amount isn't much and most of what he pays besides to schools goes to the Achievement Center (almost \$80). He said that smaller houses are paying even less.

Emily Muscatello stated that when questions arise, the Advisory Committee considers them and how to distribute correct information to the public. At the last meeting, committee members were united in their strong feeling that there has to be a clear fact sheet. The committee members review expenses and activities of the home monthly and ask appropriate questions. Emergency expenses come up because it's an old building. The County Home is an excellent place to serve the needs of County residents. The name does make it a little more difficult; however, if it's told right, everything can be overcome.

Pat Geissman stated that if the Commissioners set the rate at the actual cost of living at the County Home, there would be enough income and there wouldn't be a need for increased taxes. Sharon Ray answered that she doesn't believe that is anywhere close because they are speaking about a very few people and a \$200 a month difference. Commissioner Geissman stated that according to her figures, it would be covered. Brian Feron stated that no one knows the client mix and it can't be predicted with certainty. Commissioner Geissman said that the last time this was discussed, only 7 people were paying the full amount and now there are 12. As far as representing the taxpayers, she felt that if all of the available money was being collected, there was no problem asking for an increase; until that is done, she cannot ask for an increase and feels strongly about it. She supports the County Home 100% and feels that there is a need for it for all ages of people.

Steve Hambley stated that Commissioner Geissman had voted for a lower rate last year. Commissioner Geissman said she had done so with the anticipation that the Advisory Council would be able to get more residents in the Home to be able to get more residents in the Home to bring actual costs down, but that was not accomplished.

Mr. Junke asked Mrs. Geissman if she had campaigned against the last levy for the County Home. She answered that she had. He asked how she could then say she supports the County Home. Mrs. Geissman stated that the taxpayers told her that they wanted the County Home open and she listened to the taxpayers. Mr. Junke responded that 65% of the taxpayers approved the last levy. Mrs. Geissman said that she also looks out for what the taxpayers are paying and they are screaming about school taxes and other taxes. It's not just 50¢ - they're looking at their entire tax bill. The Commissioners need to be sure all available monies are collected and then subsidize with a levy.

Ms. Ray asked Mrs. Geissman what she had figured as the yearly difference if the seven people paid the full amount. Mrs. Geissman said she used 12 people because that's how many

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

residents are there now that can pay the full amount. Mr. Hambley said those people come through the ADAMH Board and asked if she would be willing to give the ADAMH Board the difference. Ms. Ray said this would be moving money from one column to another. Mrs. Geissman said that if they were going out of the County for those residents, they would be paying much more. Mr. Hambley said that would still be a bill that the ADAMH Board would need to pay and asked again if she would be willing to give the ADAMH Board the difference. Mrs. Geissman said that the Board has the funds coming in to do that. Ms. Ray said that she doesn't agree with that. Mrs. Geissman stated that they have State and Federal funds. Steve Hambley said that they have leverage, but not necessarily for this. Ms. Ray said that the total amount over the course of the levy would be \$16,800 – that's the difference between a replacement and a renewal. As liaison to the County Home Advisory Council, it would be very easy for them to recommend asking for a renewal and then asking the Commissioners to cover a shortage. The Committee took the hard and more fiscally responsible avenue to tell the taxpayers exactly what the costs are to operate the Home and to do the right thing. The difference is nominal; the wording of "replacement" versus "renewal" is going to be difficult even though it's only a 50¢ difference. Mrs. Bosak said that she didn't know what the difference was and that she isn't opposed to a renewal, but is opposed to a replacement. Ms. Ray said that taking the responsible track, the Committee has done the right thing. The organization is transparent in handling all of the aspects of the Home and she has found members to be very responsible. She will, therefore, be supporting the replacement levy.

Emily Muscatello stated that the occupancy rates for a residential care facility, group home, assisted living, or nursing facility, skilled or normal care, the average occupancy rate is about 81%. The County Home, with 51 occupants, is at 85% occupancy. The County Home has come a long way. Pat Geissman said that it is important for everyone to understand that the senior population is growing, but the County has been overbuilt with facilities and now there are empty beds.

The meeting recessed at 10:40 a.m. for the Commissioners to move to the Conference Room for the discussion session at 10:47 a.m.

Discussion Session

Mrs. Geissman said she went to Lafayette Township's meeting last Thursday to review the University Center's site plan. About twelve people were in attendance. They spoke about mandatory regulations for trees (there must be a tree for every 50 feet); they ascertained that they needed to figure taking the total frontage with tree every 50 feet, but some could be placed together where appropriate. The trees would have been placed along the utility line and there's a 30-foot setback.

Mrs. Geissman reported that last Thursday she was at the Office for Older Adults. Debra Radecky would like to continue additional hours with the case manager. She had no idea that the ongoing need would be so great. There are many follow-up visits that are needed and she would like to have the ability to continue the case manager for up to eight hours a week (an additional \$8,000 a year); therefore, for the remainder of this year, it would be about \$4,000. The case manager performs the initial paperwork to see if the client is eligible for certain services and if they are, they must continue with paperwork. Sometimes several trips are needed. Chris Jakob said the money could come from contingency. Mr. Hambley and Ms. Ray agreed to fund the unanticipated expense, but Mr. Hambley expressed concern that other departments would perceive that they could ask for more funds. Ms. Ray said they could re-evaluate at year end.

Mrs. Geissman stated that they are attempting to get more people to come to the Office for Older Adults for programming. One obstacle is that people don't know where the Senior Center is located. Human Services Center is identified on the building and seniors don't interpret that as the Senior Center. When they do get to the sign, the sign says ground floor. Seniors feel it should be marked as the basement or lower floor because they feel they are

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

entering the building on the ground floor. They would like to have signs on the building and at each entrance. Mr. Hambley noted that most seniors arrive by Transit. He heard that the decline was due to the programming at the Recreation Center and asked if anyone knows figures for daily attendance; Mrs. Geissman said that it is not very high. Chris Jakab noted that OOA Director Debra Radecky has records for specific programs. Mr. Hambley asked to see historical data; Chris Jakab will ask for the data.

Ms. Ray noted that each Commissioner had received an invitation to participate in the tabletop exercise for communicable disease. She will be attending.

Ms. Ray reported that she and Scott Miller, Assistant Finance Director, are continuing to work on a resolution and responsibility listing for the Audit Committee, and they should have it within two weeks. She stated that Mr. Miller has discovered that some counties have elected officials on their Audit Committee. She wanted to reconfirm that the Commissioners were in agreement that the committee should be a non-political entity and they agreed. She suggested a five-member board – the Auditor’s office select a representative, the Treasurer’s office select a representative, and three other representatives selected by the Commissioners. There will be one- and two-year staggered terms and the Assistant Finance Director will sit on the committee as an ex-officio member. There would be five or six meetings per year and an annual report will be made to the Commissioners.

Mr. Hambley noted that Chris Jakab received a copy of the Tony Radecek draft contract for consulting services presented by County Engineer Mike Salay. Mr. Salay would like a resolution to participate in the Bicycle Advisory Council (part of NOACCA). There were no objections.

Mr. Hambley stated that the vote for the County Home’s replacement levy will take place at next week’s meeting. He supports the replacement and asked Mr. Jakab to prepare the resolution for next week. Several entities will be coming before the Commissioners in the next couple of weeks (Library, Park District and perhaps the Achievement Center) to provide information about the need for additional funds.

Mrs. Geissman noted that she will out of town next Monday.

Ms. Ray moved to adjourn the meeting at 11:02 a.m.; Mrs. Geissman seconded the motion. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

RESOLUTIONS PASSED 7/3/06:

NUMBER	RESOLUTION TITLE
06-0602	RESOLUTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE UPON THE TREASURER IN SETTLEMENT OF SUCH LIST OF CLAIMS
06-0603	RESOLUTION APPROVING ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ON IMPROVEMENTS CONSTRUCTED UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF SECTION 6131.63 OHIO REVISED CODE ON 17.6682 ACRES OF LAND IN TRACT 1, LOT 10 OF BRUNSWICK HILLS TOWNSHIP AND KNOWN AS VILNIUS FARMS SUBDIVISION PHASE 1 ESTABLISHING
06-0604	RESOLUTION APPROVING ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ON IMPROVEMENTS CONSTRUCTED UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF SECTION 6131.63 OHIO REVISED CODE ON 104.5465 ACRES OF LAND IN LOT 28 OF SHARON TOWNSHIP AND KNOWN AS THE ESTATES AT WOODFIELD SUBDIVISION ESTABLISHING THEREBY SAID AS A PUBLIC WATERCOURSE
06-0605	RESOLUTION APPROVING USE OF SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE FUND FOR REPAIR WORK IN RESERVE SUBDIVISION PHASE 1 IN MEDINA TOWNSHIP, MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

- 06-0606 RESOLUTION APPROVING USE OF SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE FUND FOR REPAIR WORK IN RESERVE SUBDIVISION PHASE 2B IN MEDINA TOWNSHIP, MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO
- 06-0607 RESOLUTION APPROVING USE OF SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE FUND FOR REPAIR WORK IN GOLDEN RIDGE FARMS SUBDIVISION IN WADSWORTH TOWNSHIP, MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO
- 6-0608 RESOLUTION APPROVING USE OF SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE FUND FOR WORK IN WAKEFIELD RUN PHASE 3&4 IN HINCKLEY TOWNSHIP, MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO
- 06-0609 RESOLUTION APPROVING USE OF SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE MAINTENANCE FUND FOR REPAIR WORK IN RESERVE SUBDIVISION PHASE 3B IN MEDINA TOWNSHIP, MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO
- 06-0610 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION
- 06-0611 AUTHORIZING A CASH TRANSFER FOR THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND
- 06-0612 AUTHORIZING CASH TRANSFER FOR THE COUNTY HOME LEVY FUND
- 06-0613 AUTHORIZING A CASH TRANSFER FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING GRANT PROGRAM
- 06-0614 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM THE COUNTY GENERAL FUND (0010) TO THE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND (0121) FOR COUNTY MANDATED SHARE
- 06-0615 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM THE CHILDREN SERVICES IV-E FUND (0050) TO THE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND (0121) FOR CHILDREN SERVICES (IVE) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENDITURES
- 06-0616 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM THE CHILDREN SERVICES SCPA FUND (0050) TO THE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND (0120) FOR SCPA ADMINISTRATION EXPENDITURES
- 06-0617 AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF 8,000 GALLONS OF REGULAR UNLEADED GASOLINE FOR THE ENGINEERING CENTER
- 06-0618 RESOLUTION ENTERING INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE MEDINA COUNTY BRANCH OF THE YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION OF AKRON, OHIO FOR USE OF SPACE AT THE OLD ACHIEVEMENT CENTER
- 06-0619 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MEDINA BUILDING MAINTENANCE TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS FOR TUCKPOINTING AT VARIOUS BUILDINGS
- 06-0620 RESOLUTION ACCEPTING AND AWARDED THE BID FOR REAL ESTATE TAX ACCOUNTING SOFTWARE SYSTEM
- 06-0621 RESOLUTION REAFFIRMING JOINT BOARD ACTIONS RELATING TO BOSTON ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
- 06-0622 ADOPTION OF THE 2007 TAX BUDGET
- 06-0623 APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR ALTERNATE CHIEF BUILDING OFFICIAL SERVICES FOR THE MEDINA COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT
- 06-0624 RESOLUTION APPROVING PERSONNEL CHANGES FOR THE EMPLOYEES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
- 06-0625 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY SANITARY ENGINEER TO RELEASE THE ESCROW ACCOUNT OF FECHKO EXCAVATING INC. FOR THE GRANGER AND MEDINA TOWNSHIP WATERLINE PROJECT FOR 2005 MCSE #w-500/00-7.1.23

COMMISSIONERS MEETING, MONDAY, JULY 3, 2006

06-0626 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW EXPENSES OF COUNTY OFFICIALS

All deliberations concerning official business and formal actions by this Board of Commissioners were conducted in an open public meeting this third day of July 2006.

COMMISSIONERS _____
Stephen D. Hambley

Respectfully submitted,

OF _____
Sharon A. Ray

Pam Vereb, Clerk

MEDINA COUNTY _____
Patricia G. Geissman