

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002

Patricia G. Geissman called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. with Thomas R. Bahr and Stephen D. Hambley present.

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance and a Prayer.

At the beginning of the meeting the oral reading of the December 23 minutes was dispensed with. Each Commissioner has read them personally. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the minutes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Doug King, Administrative Assistant in the County Engineer's Office, presented a resolution proposing to cooperate with the Ohio Director of Transportation for improvements on Route 162 and Medina Line Road. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the resolution of cooperation. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners reviewed the weekly permits list.

Chris Jakab, Finance Director, presented and reviewed 15 resolutions involving amending the annual appropriations by transferring and increasing appropriations, various fund transfers, expenditure adjustments, purchasing fuel for the Engineering Center from Weaver Oil, approval of funding agreements for Family First Council services, approving an agreement with Maximus Inc. to provide professional consulting services for Medina County, authorizing the amendment of an administrative services agreement with Medical Mutual of Ohio for the provision of employee health plan services for CY 2003, adoption of the 2003 temporary appropriations, and the weekly bills in the amount of \$370,634.46. The funding agreements for the Family First Council were approved by the MRDD Board for assistance in rental expenses for the ICAT and Help Me Grow Programs. The agreement with Maximus is based on the 2002 activities. Spreadsheets were presented to Commissioners showing that with the agreement amendment with Medical Mutual the rates for next year will be lower than what was expended this year. Competitive proposals were received for the health plan services and there is approximately \$50,000 savings through this renewal process. The prescription services costs were more competitive and this will help stabilize rates. The temporary appropriations reflect 25% of the current year's appropriation levels. Budget hearings are scheduled and notices have been sent out. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the 15 resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Dianne Ranftl, Administrative Assistant, presented the personnel resolution. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the personnel changes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Jim Troike, Assistant Sanitary Engineer, presented a revised resolution authorizing the purchase of vinyl flooring, ceramic tile and carpet the Liverpool Wastewater Treatment Plant. The carpet price did come down \$300, which is the only change in the resolution. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the purchase. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Jim pulled their second resolution awarding the bid for sludge filter press plates for the Liverpool Wastewater Treatment Plant. They had planned to award to the second lowest bidder because the difference in the bid price made it skeptical and the low bidder was non-responsive. However, the low bidder did get in touch with the office and they have provided plates to try out at the Plant. The award is being held for a couple of weeks to see if the plates will work out.

Mead Wilkins, Job & Family Services Director, presented a resolution authorizing an agreement for emergency placement services for older adults for Job & Family Services. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the agreement. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mead presented copies of their annual report that is based on the State Fiscal Year, which ended June 30<sup>th</sup>.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002

Patrice Theken, Department of Planning Services Director, stated that they held a joint zoning inspector meeting with other counties on December 6. They found there were a lot of common issues with other counties. There will be another meeting held on March 7 in the Planning Office. They are working with the Prosecutor's Office on the zoning workshop series, which will be held monthly through May 2003. Through questioning by Mr. Bahr, Patrice stated that they can get approval from the State for AICP credits for the workshops and there is a fee of \$25 for workshops to those from other counties. The fee is reasonable and the overhead costs are not usually very much, and if they get enough people from other counties the fee does cover those costs. The department is also working with the Emergency Management Agency (EMA) on the mitigation plan.

Mrs. Geissman complimented Patrice on getting the workshops together with schedules made up ahead of time.

Chris Hartman, Soil & Water Conservation District, stated that they have not been hooked up to the county's backbone (computer), but it should be done sometime in January. An Urban Advisory Committee has been established as part of the sediment control program. The committee includes contractors, developers, and site engineers. Their purpose is to identify some training needs, the effectiveness of different practices that are used, and some outstanding issues on how to address the homebuilding phase of development for sediment control and long-term maintenance. There is a farm bill coming out that will involve a local work group made up of people from the Conservation District, NRCS, Farm Service Agency, OSU Extension, and the ODNR. They will be working on prioritizing conservation needs of local farmers. If the farm bill is fully funded, it is estimated that \$40,000 will come in to Medina County to help with cost share programs. The development of the stormwater development plan for the NPDES Phase 2 program is on track. The District will be meeting with each jurisdiction to finalize some details of the individual plans. They have had excellent cooperation from those jurisdictions. He noted that there is an effort to put together a regional education committee for NPDES communities. The goal is for development of materials with consistent information across the regional that the various communities can use and reduce the local costs for the educational portion of the program. They are working with the Gazette for a "Big Tree Contest" in the county. This year they are looking for the tallest and widest white oak and there will be a prize for the largest tree.

Mrs. Geissman presented 3 appointment resolutions for Township Association representatives to the Planning Commission, C.O.G. on Drug Enforcement and MCDAC. Glenn Sheller is being appointed to the Planning Commission with his term expiring December 31, 2005. Emil Bolas, John Crawford and Jim Cocker are being appointed as the Townships' 2003 representatives to the C.O.G. Jeff Vogel is being appointed to MCDAC with Joe Lewandowski as the alternate with their terms expiring December 31, 2005. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the appointments. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mrs. Geissman presented a resolution appointing William Koran as the Schools representative to MCDAC with Jack Higgins as their alternate. Their terms expire December 31, 2005. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the appointments. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mrs. Geissman presented a resolution appointing Allen Bowman and Brenda Vogley to the Convention & Visitor's Bureau Board of Trustees. Their terms expire December 31, 2005. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the appointments. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The meeting was opened for public comment.

Colene Conley, York Township Trustee, stated that she has been working with the Health Department regarding establishment of a program for the West Nile Virus. The Health Board has established a task force for this and at their initial meeting there were representatives from various veterinarians, cities, villages, wildlife department, etc. for a presentation from Clark Mosquito Company. It has been determined by the Health Board that they would like to move ahead with a program under their Environmental Division, but they don't have a funding source for this. She has done some research and the federal government will provide some funds, possibly sometime in January. However, they would like to start by February or March and

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002

would like to see if the Commissioners can help them out with some funding to get the program started. The estimated cost is \$350,000 to start the program to hire an employee, purchasing of trucks and sprays, etc. She feels this should be coordinated with the stormwater regulations that allow for open ditches, etc.

At 10:00 a.m. the public hearing was convened for consideration of adopting new Subdivision Regulations.

A sign-in sheet is attached as Exhibit A showing those in attendance for the Subdivision Regulations public hearing as well as the Thoroughfare Plan public hearing.

Patrice Theken noted that the Planning Commission approved the Subdivision Regulations on November 2, 2002. A committee was formed in March 2000 and a consultant was hired that worked with the committee for approximately a year. Throughout the review process there were several open houses and various notifications in their newsletter of the progress of the regulations. The goal initially set was to provide the necessary progressive tools to effectively manage the subdivision process while addressing land use and development issues. General, procedural and administrative revisions were made and some of those clarify the variance procedures. An administrative waiver process was revised allowing the Planning Director to grant approval on certain revisions that have been reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission. Those revisions would have to still allow the plan to be in substantial conformity with the regulations. There are over 100 new definitions added such as access management, bicycle facility, cul-de-sacs, and fire hydrants that are used on a regular basis by the Planning Commission and staff. The ORC allows a developer to go straight to the final plat process and our regulations encourage them to go through the various steps so there won't be problems at the final plat stage. The steps include a preliminary review with the staff and a concept plan review in which a drawing of a concept plan of development is provided and sent to all reviewing agencies, including the townships. This stage includes a meeting between the developer, township, any agency concerned or with comments, and the staff about anything that may need changed or possible variances that could make the plan better. In the third stage the plat goes before the Planning Commission for review of the access, environmental concerns, lot configurations, etc. There are a number of criteria that the Commission and staff will use to review the plan. The fourth stage, the final platting stage, is gone through once the developer has completed all of the other stages and met the conditions under which the preliminary plan was approved. The developer can go into this stage after all of the other agencies have signed off on the plat, which is a new requirement to make sure that there are no outstanding problems.

Patrice added that the new regulations include information about reserve strips, which are strips of land that adjacent property owners may be kept from having access to that will eventually be a public dedicated road within the subdivision. These will not be allowed. There is also text encouraging reflective house numbering and standard breakaway mailboxes. Under the review of minor subdivisions, a parcel that is subdivided without a plat and doesn't meet frontage must be connected to a parcel of land that has frontage. This should do away with land-locked pieces of land that may be big enough to build a house on that could cause problems in the future when everyone forgets what took place and someone comes in for a variance for frontage to build on that land-locked piece. One of the factors that caused this whole review process was that the county wanted to be more aggressive in preserving or regulating environmentally sensitive pieces of land, and so there is an appendix that includes environmental planning guidelines that give developers various examples of how to take care of land to preserve it for the future. Also included is an area about homeowners association regulations, which are a major concern for a lot of townships involved in conservation development and open space regulations. Developers are also required to submit to the staff electronic files for subdivisions that will allow them to be placed on the GIS layers and that helps the Commission see exactly where the subdivision will be placed.

Mr. Bahr noted that mailboxes were issues at one time with what some people were doing. He commended Patrice, the staff and others that worked on this long process.

Patrice stated that most of the committee members attended every meeting and should be commended for their loyalty to the process. She also noted that Susan Hirsch was instrumental in making sure the text was correct and in order.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002

There were no questions or comments from those in attendance.

Mr. Bahr moved to close the public hearing on the subdivision regulations. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mr. Bahr moved to pass a resolution accepting the subdivision regulations.

Mr. Hambley stated there is an issue that needs discussed. A letter from Nevada Johnson was reviewed last week regarding a proposed amendment involving minor subdivision regulations. The amendment involved the sale and exchange of parcels between adjoining landowners requiring a resurvey of the entire property. The Planning Commission has discussed this with Mr. Johnson.

Bill Thorne stated that it's a controversial issue and noted that nobody at the hearing raised the issue today. It is a valid issue that it could be expensive in the long run, but the Planning Commission has commended on why they want to have it. Legally there is no problem, but it does create a practical problem. This was attempted in the past when deeds were going to be redone every time and then we ended up putting in exceptions because it became so expensive. He's not sure how often this would happen, but it could involve a large farm that would need surveyed and that could be very expensive. Through discussion he stated that there was one not long ago where someone came in and asked for a variance on a parcel that was created as an unbuildable lot. A procedural matter could be allowed and if there is a topographical problem or something, but not simply because they didn't want to spend the money. There are valid issues on both sides.

Patrice stated that a land-locked piece of property is usually allowed to be transferred to an adjacent property owner, and that's an exemption that is provided for in the law. Essentially it should stay with the people it was deeded to originally. The problem is that a land-locked piece with no frontage could be sold sometime in the future and nobody would know it was unbuildable or why, and then the new owner would be before the Board of Zoning Appeals for a variance on the frontage.

Bill noted that the incident in Chatham recently was that a property was originally transferred as unbuildable, but after several intermediate families, now they are coming in for a variance and their board is considering it.

Mr. Hambley agreed and understands the concern. However, he believes the issue raised is that under the current regulations some minor lot adjustments could be done without going through an entire resurvey. The new regulations would require the same adjustments but would also require a resurvey, which would be a significant cost.

Bill stated that those are the conflicting issues. The subdivision regulations require some topographical problem for a variance rather than just a financial issue. Resurveying a couple hundred-acre farm could be expensive, but Patrice does have valid practical problems that were just experienced recently.

Mrs. Geissman noted that there is a motion on the floor to approve the subdivision regulations.

Mr. Hambley seconded the motion to approve the regulations, noting that if there are any major complaints the issue can be re-evaluated.

There was no further discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners convened the public hearing on the Thoroughfare Plan.

Dave Miller noted that in 2001 the City of Medina formed a committee to analyze the area of transportation around the city including the townships of Lafayette, Medina, Montville and York. He chaired the committee/task force and a report (Medina Area Task Force Thoroughfare Report) was completed in December 2001. The City of Medina and the Planning Commission have approved the report. In general the committee looked at the transportation system in and around the City and the report and thoroughfare map is a consensus of everyone.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002

There was a lot of give and take and he doesn't believe there was anything that wasn't discussed. In response to one particular question, the answer is no map can be "etched in stone" because there are environmental, topographical and archaeological studies that have to be done. In general they are saying these are where roads should be and they're to give us an idea for the future of where the roads are wanted. They still have to be engineered and go through all of the approving authorities before they can actually take place.

Mr. Bahr noted that this information was presented to the township trustees at the beginning of the process and through the duration the committee did an excellent job of keeping everybody informed.

Patrice stated that if the Commissioners approve the report and map as a guide, it's something the staff and Planning Commission can look at when subdivisions are proposed in those 4 townships.

Jim Douth, Economic Development Corporation Director, stated that he presented this to the Commissioners subsequent to the Planning Commissioners October 2 meeting when they adopted it as an appendix to the subdivision regulations, with the thought that the recommended collector streets would become more formalized as part of the county's thoroughfare plan. There are already developments in Lafayette and Montville Townships that directly impact 2 of these potential collector streets. Growth is going to be an issue in those areas. The decades have clipped by in trying to get a bypass created in the area around Medina and this may be the last chance to get anything even close to that.

Jim Gerspacher stated that he was part of the task force and he hopes this will be more than a guide. It's not "etched in stone" as Dave said, but it is important that there is a strong indication that there is public agreement of where those streets should go. He stated he would like a clarification of how strong this plan can be enforced. One of the of the major issues involved is the commitment on the part of the county and city in that there may be a need to acquire right-of-ways to enforce the streets so the plan can be carried out.

Bill Thorne stated that there are provisions in the code for holding of formal platting procedures where land is laid out for public improvement. If the document becomes a formal plan for future roadway expansion in unincorporated areas, the Planning Commission would have authority to consider that plan in the drafting and it may be an opportunity for the county to purchase that. It would require a commitment because it's not simply a guide. If a developer isn't willing to build that road then the county would be the first entity looked at to do it. A plan is what you hope to have happen and you promote it, which means putting up money. A guide is something you're trying to get people to do. If it's a plan for future roadway development and it's adopted as part of the highway plan, not necessarily that exact longitude and latitude, but in this area, then there will have to be a commitment down the line on this not only this Board but on future boards as well.

Lynda Bowers, Planning Commission, noted that Mr. Gerspacher had mentioned there are 2 subdivisions underway in the area, but there are actually 2 subdivisions underway in Lafayette Township and 1 in Montville Township. Even though the guide/plan had not been formally adopted by anyone at the time these proposals came in, the townships and developers are working together and using it as a guide. Through the Planning Commission subdivision process the townships have some safe guards built in to encourage that. She feels the Planning Commission does have the ability to require the road configuration in a certain way in major subdivisions and that it doesn't require the county to step up and pay for it.

Bill stated that is if they can get the developer to do it, but in some cases they are not required to have public streets. He agreed that depending on the jurisdiction they would have the ability to enforce that; however, they'd have to react in time to do that.

Lynda stated that having the guide in place gives them the opportunity to recognize where the connections need to occur and they have the opportunity now to do that when a development comes in.

Bill noted that there is a difference between a guide and a plan.

## COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002

Mr. Bahr noted that the agenda reads this is a public hearing on a thoroughfare plan and feels that explains what is going on here. That is what it is referred to all the way through, and although there may be some variances needed, he feels a plan is what is needed. Guides haven't worked in the past.

Bill stated that is the basis of the Subdivision Regulations. If this is adopted it will become part of the Thoroughfare Plan of the County.

Pat Ryan, Montville Township Trustee, stated that she was on the task force and in all fairness it was called a plan simply because it was a plan. They didn't debate whether it should be called a guideline or a plan.

Mr. Hambley stated that as a procedural or technical matter in order to adopt this as a plan the resolution of adoption should site the provisions of the ORC that enable the designation as a plan or pattern of thoroughfare development.

Bill stated that he didn't feel the code had to be sited, and that it should simply read that it is adopted as a plan.

Colene Conley, York Township Trustee, stated that Frank Ehrman was York's representative on the task force and York Township did pass a resolution to adopt the plan. It would be nice if the county adopted a plan so that the 4 townships and city can move forward.

Mr. Hambley noted that correspondence was received from Montville Township with some concerns and as he mentioned last week, he met with Pat Ryan and Dave Miller about these. Recommendations were made regarding some of the concerns that would modify the task force recommendation if incorporated into the plan. He reviewed the recommendations, which were to 1) replace the timeline on #45 for the I-71/SR 162 Interchange to "future"; currently the timeline goes to 2002, and to add the following text: "Construction would only follow after a thorough interchange justification study is performed which duly considers feasible alternatives and appropriate roadway improvements in the general area"; 2) on #37 for Sturbridge (East) to add the following text: "Connection needs to be coordinated with improvements of East Smith Road and future expansion of SR 18"; and, 3) to include language in the resolution that the thoroughfare plan and recommendations are not interpreted as authorization or designation of preferred truck routes. These address the concerns and are a matter of clarification rather than changing anything that will actually occur. Without an interchange justification study, funding will not be received, federal transportation and NOACA's approval will not be received and the interchange will not be built. They would also want to look at feasible alternatives and any roadway improvements as a consequence to traffic for the interchange and also for Sturbridge in that any connection should require looking at the traffic impact on E. Smith Road.

Mrs. Geissman recalled that several years ago when the plan was reviewed for the Prime Outlet Mall there was some controversy over it not being in the (township's) plan and that it was only a guide.

Bill noted that dealt with the township's comprehensive plan. The idea is that they have a comprehensive development plan and they try to get developers to be consistent with it. The outlet mall development was not part of that plan. In this case, the Subdivision Regulations require that townships have a highway plan/map. If the thoroughfare plan is a "development plan" then the Planning Commission would show it to developers and it would be more than just a concept; it would be "we have to have a road here". It can be laid out to make sure the townships require public roads in those areas, which would encourage it to be a public road without the townships or County having to do anything. The problem with the outlet mall was with township zoning and having an underlying plan, which are supposed to be consistent. That whole area was not planned for an outlet mall and they tried to change their zoning without changing the underlying plan. In this case, the idea is to make the plan first and then layout specifically where the road would have to be as it goes through. With this you're making a comprehensive highway use plan for this area.

Ms. Conley thanked Dave for his work, noting that he's always done an excellent job in laying out roadways, etc. She hopes this plan is adopted.

**COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002**

Ms. Bowers asked Dave for clarification on his preference on adopting this as a thoroughfare plan.

Dave agreed it is his preference, and that everybody has to realize that even though it is a plan because of environmental studies, etc, the lines will move around; however, the concept is what we're talking about.

There were no further comments.

Mr. Bahr moved to close the hearing on the thoroughfare plan. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Mr. Bahr moved to accept the Medina Area Task Force Plan as submitted with the modification defined by Mr. Hambley. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Through a brief discussion it was clarified that this is being adopted as a Medina County Thoroughfare Plan for the Medina area.

Mr. Hambley noted that this is a county thoroughfare plan that only deals with Medina City and adjoining townships. He feels that over the next several years a task force will be working with jurisdictions in other areas of the county to designate preferred highway development in those areas.

It was noted that there were no items for a discussion session.

Best wishes and farewells were offered to Mr. Bahr.

Mr. Bahr thanked everyone, noting that being a Commissioner has been an interesting and rewarding experience. The people of the county are very fortunate to have the people in place in the county government. He has observed other counties and believes there is a good team in place here.

Mr. Hambley moved to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Mr. Bahr. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

**RESOLUTIONS PASSED:**

02-1123 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE UPON THE TREASURER IN SETTLEMENT OF SUCH LIST OF CLAIMS

02-1124 RESOLUTION OF BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO, PROPOSING TO COOPERATE WITH THE OHIO DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORTATION

02-1125 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2002 ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION BY TRANSFERRING APPROPRIATIONS

02-1126 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION RESOLUTION

02-1127 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENT ACCOUNTS TO THE GASOLINE ROTARY FUND

02-1128 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENT ACCOUNTS TO THE MEDINA COUNTY PRINT SHOP REVENUE LINE ITEM

02-1129 CASH TRANSFER OF INTEREST INCOME FOR VARIOUS SANITARY ENGINEER FUNDS

**COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002**

- 02-1130 CASH TRANSFER OF INTEREST INCOME FOR VARIOUS FUNDS
- 02-1131 TRANSFER OF CASH FROM THE UNCLAIMED MONIES FUND TO THE GENERAL FUND
- 02-1132 CASH TRANSFERS FOR VARIOUS FUNDS
- 02-1133 EXPENDITURE ADJUSTMENTS FOR VARIOUS FUNDS
- 02-1134 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF 3,200 GALLONS OF PREMIUM UNLEADED AND 4,200 GALLONS OF REGULAR UNLEADED GASOLINE FOR THE ENGINEERING CENTER
- 02-1135 APPROVAL OF FUNDING AGREEMENTS FOR FAMILY FIRST COUNCIL SERVICES
- 02-1136 RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH MAXIMUM INC. TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR MEDINA COUNTY
- 02-1137 AUTHORIZING THE AMENDMENT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH MEDICAL MUTUAL OF OHIO FOR THE PROVISION OF EMPLOYEE HEALTH PLAN SERVICES FOR CY 2003
- 02-1138 RESOLUTION APPROVING PERSONNEL CHANGES FOR THE EMPLOYEES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
- 02-1139 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SANITARY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT TO PURCHASE SHEET FLOORING MATERIAL THROUGH STATE PURCHASING AND AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF CARPET & CERAMIC TILE FOR THE LIVERPOOL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY RENOVATION
- 02-1140 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RELATING TO PROTECTIVE SERVICES FOR ADULTS FOR MEDINA COUNTY JOB AND FAMILY SERVICES
- 02-1141 RESOLUTION APPOINTING REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TOWNSHIPS TO THE MEDINA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
- 02-1142 RESOLUTION APPOINTING REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE TOWNSHIPS TO THE MEDINA COUNTY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (C.O.G.) ON DRUG ENFORCEMENT
- 02-1143 RESOLUTION APPOINTING REPRESENTATIVE AND ALTERNATE FOR THE TOWNSHIPS TO THE MEDINA COUNTY DRUG ABUSE COMMISSION (MCDAC)
- 02-1144 RESOLUTION APPOINTING REPRESENTATIVE AND ALTERNATE FOR THE SCHOOLS TO THE MEDINA COUNTY DRUG ABUSE COMMISSION (MCDAC)
- 02-1145 RESOLUTION APPOINTING REPRESENTATIVES TO THE MEDINA COUNTY CONVENTION & VISITOR'S BUREAU BOARD OF TRUSTEES
- 02-1146 ADOPTION OF THE 2003 TEMPORARY APPROPRIATION MEASURE
- 02-1147 RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE MEDINA COUNTY SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS AND RESCINDING REGULATIONS RE-ADOPTED BY RESOLUTION NO. 00-177 AND ANY MODIFICATIONS THEREAFTER
- 02-1148 RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE MEDINA AREA TRANSPORTATION TASK FORCE 2001 FINAL REPORT AS THE MEDINA COUNTY THOROUGHFARE PLAN

**COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2002**

MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:

---

Patricia G. Geissman

Respectfully submitted,

---

Stephen D. Hambley

Pamela J. Terrill, Clerk