

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Patricia G. Geissman called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. with Thomas R. Bahr and Stephen D. Hambley present.

The meeting opened with the Pledge of Allegiance and a Prayer.

At the beginning of the meeting the oral reading of the minutes of April 15 and 22 was dispensed with. Each Commissioner has read them personally. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the minutes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE on the April 15 minutes, and Mrs. Geissman and Mr. Hambley voting AYE on the April 22 minutes, with Mr. Bahr abstaining.

Doug King, Administrative Assistant in the County Engineer's Office, presented 4 resolutions involving 1) finding that the public convenience and welfare requires the extension of Bridge No. 17 on W. 130th Street in the City of Brunswick and Hinckley Township, 2) approving the partial vacation of an existing storm sewer easement within Sublot 31 of Laurel Crossing Subdivision Phase I, and 3-4) to close 2 sections of Firestone Road. One section of Firestone Road will be closed Monday and Tuesday and the other section will be closed on Wednesday and Thursday. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the 4 resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley.

Mr. Hambley asked if the bridge extension will require closing W. 130th Street, noting that he heard over the weekend that the City of Brunswick is closing Hadcock Road. He hopes the City and County will work together on these closings because those are 2 of the main north-south roads.

Dave Miller, County Engineer, stated that the bridge is an extension and they may try to keep the road open with 1 lane traffic.

There was no further discussion.

Roll Call on the motion and second to approve the 4 County Engineer resolutions showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Commissioners reviewed the weekly permits list.

Chris Jakab, Finance Director, presented and reviewed 11 resolutions involving amending the appropriations, various fund transfers, revenue adjustments for the Office for Older Adults funds, accepting and rejecting the proposal relating to WIA Youth Services for the Department of Workforce Development, approval of an agreement for Information & Referral Services between Family First Council and Catholic Charities Services, selecting a \$250,000 limit for uninsured/underinsured motorist coverage, establishing deposit and fee regulations for costs incurred in annexation proceedings, and the weekly bills in the amount of \$845,376.46. The Operations Committee of the Workforce Policy Board recommends rejection of both proposals for the WIA Youth Services. New proposals will be solicited on May 15. The Information & Referral Services agreement covers the I&R staff at the Lodi Resources Center. The \$250,000 limit for uninsured/underinsured motorist coverage is the same level we have had for approximately 10 years. The cost comparison shows that insurance costs went up. The net cost after some credits is 13.2% over last year. The budget was set up at \$350,000 and that is covered, and is on the bill page today. The Clerk worked on the deposit and fees for annexation proceedings and the resolution is the final recommendation for the fee structure as well as the calculation method for subsequent invoices or refunds. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the 11 resolutions. Seconded by Mr. Hambley.

Mr. Bahr agreed with the comments about the time spent by the Clerk on preparation of the fees and that it is appreciated.

There was no further discussion.

Roll Call on the motion and second to approve the 11 Finance resolutions showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Roger Harris, Human Resources Director, presented and reviewed the personnel changes resolution. Mr. Bahr moved to approve the changes. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

John Stricker, County Administrator, was out ill today.

Ken Hotz, Sanitary Engineer, presented a resolution authorizing the renewal of the contract for hauling and disposal of cake sludge and liquid sludge for the various wastewater facilities. The bids were awarded with a possible extension with a consumer price index increase, which is 1-1/2%. The cake sludge is taken out of Liverpool by McClaughlin Farms and their cost is increasing from \$13.79/ton to \$14.00/ton. AgriSludge takes the liquid sludge out of Hinckley and their cost is increasing from \$.0348 to \$.0353. He would like to extend the contracts as both companies have done an excellent job.

Mr. Bahr asked if Ken sees any problems in the future with some of the EPA requirements that are changing.

Ken stated that he doesn't see any problems because the sludge is Class A and there are no metals in it. The bulk of the sludge is the cake sludge. The Ashland County Health Department has to monitor sludge and they are only allowed to apply a certain tonnage per acre each year. That is the only way to get rid of the sludge without burning it, which causes a lot of other problems with emissions. Through further questioning, he stated that the application of sludge doesn't fall under the new manure management program that is being proposed. The cake sludge doesn't have any pathogens because it is cooked and drained of any moisture.

Mr. Bahr moved to approve the renewal of the contracts for hauling and disposal of cake and liquid sludge. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no further discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

Ken stated that they collected 847 appliances at the latest Appliance Round-up, which brings the total to 7,791 since the CPF has been in operation. The appliance disposal is done at no cost to residents.

Mrs. Geissman reviewed a resolution establishing general orders for the hearing of annexation petitions. There have been changes with annexations and a lot more responsibility has been put on Commissioners. The Commissioners will become the "judge and jury" on annexation decisions. The general orders sets guidelines that will be used and these should make it a little easier. She asked Bill Thorne, Assistant Prosecutor, if he had any comments.

Bill stated that the new law establishes a quasi-judicial status of the Board and the annexation proceedings that are not exempted at this time. A lot of the hearings will be more automatic and shouldn't have many with problems.

Mr. Bahr moved to approve the resolution establishing the general orders. Seconded by Mr. Hambley.

Mr. Hambley asked if this will be posted on the website, noting that some information is already on the site.

The Clerk stated that it will be posted along with the instructions, which already are on the website.

There was no further discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The Clerk read the resolution to allow expenses of county officials. Mr. Bahr moved to allow the expenses. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The meeting was opened for public comment.

Donna Kinney, Lodi resident & Coordinator to Help Save the County Home, stated that there have been statements made in the newspapers that there are facilities willing to take the

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

residents from the County Home. She noted that they refer to them as a family not residents. She feels that it is time that the names of those facilities are released. It has also been stated that federal and state funding will be included where the residents go. However, if the federal government cuts funding, the State will and then the County will be coming back to the taxpayers in one way or another saying they need to provide for those residents. She also asked what would happen to the employees from the County Home. People want to have answers.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she has some breaking news that she feels will make a big difference to the taxpayers. Life Care Center of Medina in Liverpool Township, with a capacity of 218, is an assisted living facility as well as a nursing home facility that has come forward. They will take all of the residents and all of the employees that meets their employment standards, and there will be no charge to the county. The county will not have the liability for the cost. They are licensed for assisted living and certified as a nursing home. This allows the residents to truly stay together as a family. At the County Home today the family breaks up when a resident is no longer able to stay, as the County Home is not a nursing home. Last year there were 4 transfers to nursing homes. According to Lynn Remington, Administrative Assistant at the County Home, in a meeting 2 weeks ago they currently have 10 residents that need to go to a nursing home. At the Life Care Center, they would be in the same facility for long term even if their needs change for a nursing home. They'll be under the same roof, have the same caregivers and the same family. There have also been concerns about the County Home not being licensed for our mental health residents. The Life Care Center is licensed. This is a win/win situation for the residents of the County Home, their employees, private industry, and the taxpayers. This is private industry coming forward to assist in taking care of our residents. There is no need to pass a levy for $\frac{3}{4}$ million dollars. The \$500,000 projected to spend on the County Home this year can be used in other programs. Camelot Place, which has been an independent living facility with 1-2 bedroom apartments with a living area, kitchenette and private bathrooms, will be, within 2 weeks, an assisted living facility. They have come forward to take County Home residents for \$945/month. This is a beautiful facility with a lot of amenities for assisted living. Some of our residents are paying \$1100/month, so this would be cheaper for them and they would be right in the city with close access to many things. The Inn At Medina has also come forward to take residents at a cost of \$1500/month. This is their regular price and she feels with negotiations the rates will be cheaper. There is one other facility that she has visited and has offered reduced rates, however, she was unable to get a hold of them for permission to release their name. She noted that she heard about the Life Care Center last Wednesday and was able to confirm their offer on Friday. This is a wonderful gesture on their part. It boils down to what has been said by Commissioners before; we have an awful lot of underutilized space in Medina County. One care center in the county has already gone "belly up" and we don't want to compete with private industry. Here is private industry coming to our aid and she really appreciates those that have come forward. We do not need to pass a levy and generate $\frac{3}{4}$ million dollars. She noted that the centers are willing to talk to reporters.

Ms. Kinney asked what the real plans are for the County Home after the family is gone.

Mrs. Geissman believes none of the Commissioners want to see the facility torn down. There have been some discussion and it was offered to Mental Health, but unfortunately they have the same problem with the facility in that it will take a lot of money to renovate it to make it suitable for other types of care. Perhaps it could be a daytime respite care. There have been a few suggestions made, but money is needed for renovations. It won't be torn down as long as she's here as it is a beautiful historical building. This is something that will need to be discussed to determine exactly what can be done. She added that the facilities that have come forward have also indicated that anyone that may "fall between the cracks" in the future will also have a home.

Angela McMillen, Sharon Township, asked if the issue will be taken off the ballot now that there's a place for the residents.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she doesn't believe that can be done. What needs to be done is getting the publicity out that it's not necessary to vote for this issue. This is a better scenario because they will be able to stay together as a family.

Ms. McMillen added that it would be in a more modern facility.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Mrs. Geissman added that it would also be with the skilled care that many of them need.

Ms. Kinney asked if the offer will be in writing so they can't back out.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it would be.

Mr. Hambley stated that this has been negotiated and he hasn't seen anything about this.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she hasn't negotiated anything. This is what has been offered.

Mr. Hambley stated that it is a verbal commitment that they're obligated to take these individuals, but he questioned for how long.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it would be as long as they need to be there. Through further questioning by Mr. Hambley, Mrs. Geissman stated that it is regardless of their ability to pay for assisted living and nursing home care.

Mr. Hambley stated that he understand they can start charging off to Medicaid for the nursing home care, and asked if they are obligating in writing that they will take those requiring assisted living and that don't have the ability to pay for their care.

Mrs. Geissman stated yes, noting that they all have income. There is one person that is indigent and that person is being absorbed along with the others that have the ability to pay.

Mr. Hambley stated that they only have the ability to pay up to a certain amount. He asked if Life Care is committed to taking future indigent or poor individuals that can't afford their standard level of fees.

Mrs. Geissman stated that is what will be negotiated and put into writing.

Mr. Hambley stated that unfortunately this can't be done before the election. The Prosecutor's would need to review a contract and there is no documentation and there has been no public hearing.

Mrs. Geissman stated that the offer is there. Camelot Place and the Inn At Medina, which are both cheaper than the \$1650 at the County Home, have also made offers. The residents can't be forced to go to Life Care, but they do have options. Camelot Place and the Inn At Medina can't take them all but they will take some of them at a reduced cost. Life Care Center can take them all and have opened their arms to bring them into their family. We will have this in writing.

Kim Detchon stated that Mrs. Geissman said Life Care will take the people for free.

Mrs. Geissman stated that they will not charge the county anything. She was first given this information by someone else and she talked with the director later and it is true.

Ms. Detchon questioned who the director is, how many they have had in the last 3 years and if they change directors if the commitment will hold tight.

Mrs. Geissman stated that Andy Koha is the Director and said he would talk with reporters. She does not know how many directors they have had, but if there is a contract the commitment will hold tight.

Mr. Hambley stated that there is a 15-17% cut in Medicaid reimbursements scheduled for October and the industry analysts have said they project a number of these facilities will go bankrupt. In terms of reviewing any written documents, he questioned what they will be required to do if the facility goes bankrupt.

Mrs. Geissman stated that there is some back up here.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Mr. Bahr stated that the same thing would happen; that the county will still have an obligation to support these people. We have that obligation regardless. If a facility goes bankrupt then we obviously have to find another facility and support them.

Mr. Hambley stated that his point is not about the current residents of the County Home, but future ones.

Mr. Bahr noted that the law says if they are indigent we have to provide support for them.

Mr. Hambley asked if that is indigent or poor to include those that can't afford this. He asked if a program will be set up in the future to fund those.

Mr. Bahr stated that has to be done regardless.

Mrs. Geissman stated that we have a program to fund them and we would still have that program.

Mr. Hambley stated that the program is called the County Home.

Mr. Bahr stated that it would still be done but at much less cost than what we're looking at now.

Mrs. Geissman stated that if the county had to pay for those at, as an example, Camelot Place, we would be spending less than \$100,000/year compared to \$500,000/year. We have an offer now to absorb all of the residents and keep them together at no charge to the county.

Mr. Hambley stated that from the survey done we received various responses. Some have a base level and start charging per assist. So the \$940 has to be reviewed as to whether they provide for assistance, extra meals, or laundry. The details are the important thing and that what the contract would need to get into. Unfortunately just before an election, it sounds kind of suspicious. There are a lot of promises and nothing in writing.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it is not suspicious. She was told that a letter came to this Board with this offer some time ago. She heard about that last week.

Mr. Hambley asked if they were able to provide a copy because he doesn't remember seeing it either.

Mrs. Geissman said yes, noting that she asked for a copy because she didn't know about it.

Dan Keenan asked if the levy is passed and the Commissioners proceed with negotiating a contract that turns out to be the "deal of all deals" and is the perfect solution, what would happen to the levy.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it would have to be discussed.

Mr. Hambley believes legally if the assistance is no longer required, we just don't collect on the levy.

Mr. Keenan recommended passing the levy, the county proceeding with negotiations and a contract, and then bring that documentation to the community so a smart decision can be made.

Another gentleman added to leave the options open.

Mrs. Geissman stated that we are trying to look at all the options.

A lady stated that it's too late for that and the levy needs to be passed.

Mr. Keenan stated that if the levy doesn't pass, the funding will be gone and there won't be a contract in place. The best option at this point is to pass the levy with the idea that if

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

everything comes together with the options that the money won't be needed and the collection of the levy can be stopped.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she doesn't feel there is a need for ¾ million dollars anyhow because many of the people would have funding other than their own incomes to help. We could get by a lot less cheaper and that has been shown in the response sheet and financial sheet. There is no need to pass a levy to take care of the residents at the County Home. Enough money can be saved to continue to take care of our needy without the levy.

Marty Warner stated that basically what is being said is that it isn't necessarily going to be cheaper per individual, but it's going to be cheaper for the Commissioners' budget because tax dollars are going to be taken out of state or federal funds. The expenses are just being moved to a different pocket.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it is money that would not need to come from the county general fund.

Ms. Warner stated that it's not going to be any less expensive per individual or to the taxpayer.

Mrs. Geissman stated that some of the people can live some where else on their own money for less than they can live at the County Home. There are some facilities that are willing to take the assisted living residents for cheaper amounts than what they're presenting paying at the County Home.

Ms. Warner stated that that they are going to go towards Medicare, which is another tax paid by the taxpayers. So they will be taking from Medicare instead of from the Commissioners' budget.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she doesn't have a problem spending tax dollars that are coming back to the county. Those are tax dollars that have already been paid and we don't need to generate more taxes.

Ms. Warner understands that the Medicare funds are getting cut back in October.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it is not known what they are going to do. There is a certain obligation to those that are on Medicare and Medicaid. Medicaid is basically what we're talking about, and they cannot do away with that funding.

Karen Hammond asked if the medications taken by the County Home residents are the county's responsibility since they're in the Home.

Mrs. Geissman stated that some of them are.

Ms. Hammond stated that at assisted living facilities Medicare does not reimburse those facilities for medications so the residents have to pay for that separately.

Mrs. Geissman agreed that is probably so.

Ms. Detchon stated that once the people utilize all of their ability to pay, their homes and money are gone and they become indigent, then they will be supported fully through the county, except this time it will be completely supporting them. Through questioning, Ms. Detchon stated that what she is saying is that if they are put into another facility and they pay from their pocket what they can, once they run out of their personal money the county will still be responsible for those people. This creates more indigent people.

Mrs. Geissman stated that the people at the County Home are not supposed to have assets, but they do have social security checks. That is the kind of money they will be contributing to their care.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Ms. Detchon stated that she doesn't remember the County Home being set up with people that only don't have assets. It was to help out people that couldn't afford other facilities and for the mentally ill.

Mr. Bahr stated that when county homes were established the original intent was that they were for people that could not afford anything. As things have progressed the mentally ill have gone away from that. The County Home used to be called the poor house. When he was growing up people didn't talk about it because it was the poor house. It was for the people that didn't have the money to care for themselves. If you look at the Ohio Revised Code it will tell you that is the reason county homes were established. Things have changed over the years. In some cases county homes have made changes and become Medicaid and Medicare certified. In those cases their facilities were set up so they could. The unfortunate part about our County Home is that it's not set up that way and it doesn't meet the requirements. It's economically unfeasible to try to make it meet the requirements. He loves history and old buildings. It is a quality building, but it's not up to modern standards.

Ms. Detchon stated that she understands that, but there are other things that can be done. Her question is whether this is going to cause more poor people in the county and be more burden on the taxpayers.

Mr. Bahr asked how having these people in another facility would case more poor people than if they were at the County Home.

Ms. Detchon stated that they are paying a part of their own costs at a lower cost at the County Home.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it is not at lower costs at the County Home. That is a misconception.

Ms. Detchon stated that she saw it on a fact sheet put out by Mr. Hambley.

Mrs. Geissman stated that the fact sheet is misleading.

Mr. Hambley challenged that it is not, and that this is what the Finance Department put out for 55 people at the County Home.

Mr. Bahr and Mrs. Geissman disagreed with Mr. Hambley.

Mrs. Geissman stated that the sheet put out by the Finance Department covers 50 people and keeps everything in equation.

Mr. Hambley stated that he kept everything in the equation.

Dave Myers stated that 2 weeks ago he asked under whose authority a fact sheet was attached to the employees' paychecks at Lodi Hospital and asked if Commissioners remembered the response to that question.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she had answered that it was Mr. Whelan because the sheets were left at his office and she understood they would only go in with his permission. Mr. Whelan has called her since and told her what happened.

Mr. Myers stated that he sent a notice out apologizing because Mrs. Geissman had no authority to do this.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she had nothing to do with putting them in the pay envelopes. She dropped off the material as she was asked to do. Mr. Whelan told her that his secretary gave him a notice about the material and when he didn't respond right away she assumed that meant it was okay to put them in the pay envelopes.

Mr. Myers argued that Mrs. Geissman had this done and that 10 employees were upset about it. He also stated that she has a committee ready to make some announcements and sending out mailings. He asked if the taxpayers are paying for the mailings.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Mr. Bahr stated that the mailings are being done by private donations.

Mrs. Geissman stated that there are people in the community that do not feel the same as Mr. Myers and they have approached Mr. Bahr and her about getting information out to the public. They have given them information and given them permission to use the Commissioners fact sheet with facts that all Commissioners agreed is correct.

Mr. Myers stated that they are disputing which fact sheet is correct. He has seen Mr. Hambley's fact sheet and asked who said it was misleading.

Mrs. Geissman stated that it was the Finance Director.

Mr. Myers stated that Camelot Place has been directed to spend \$600,000 to get their 6-year-old nursing home facility up to par. They are in trouble financially. He would not touch any of these places without seeing detailed finance on each of the facilities.

Mrs. Geissman stated that she's sure they wouldn't have approached her if there were anything wrong. There are a lot of underutilized facilities in Medina County. They are our private industry and she doesn't believe the Commissioners want to compete with private industry. For every one that goes belly up there are taxes lost. When those taxes are lost from private industry the real estate taxes go up. Private industry is very important.

Mr. Myers stated that Mr. Hambley's fact sheet is not wrong

Mrs. Geissman stated that the Finance Director said it is misleading because of the equation.

There was a debate between Mrs. Geissman and Mr. Hambley on the use of statistics in his fact sheet.

At 10:15 a.m. no further comments were taken and the meeting was recessed to move into the conference room for the discussion session.

Mrs. Geissman apologized to Mr. Hambley for a comment about his use of statistics and stated she would tell him after the meeting who had made the comment to her. There was a brief debate on the issue.

Mr. Hambley stated that there is a proposal to hold a Transit Awareness Week during the week of May 20th. Transit is 10 years old and they want to have a week where everyone using Transit will wear stickers to demonstrate that people are using the system. In addition letters will be sent to businesses and public officials. You may be aware that Medina City only funded Transit for half the year contingent upon funding and that some council members don't believe there are many people that use Transit. This is a way to demonstrate to them and the business community that Transit is used. This will heighten the awareness of Transit for people to use to go to the doctor, shopping, and various businesses. May 20th is also Senior Day at the Community Center. Commissioners agreed to this proposal.

Mr. Bahr noted that the first quarter statistics from the Animal Shelter are in. They had 195 impounded animals from January through March. They sold 96 and 36 were redeemed by their owners. There were 26 destroyed that were unadoptable, such as vicious dogs. He noted a neighbor's cat that was killed by a dog that was bred for fighting and then tried to attack the neighbor when he tried to take care of his cat. These dogs are being bred in Akron and if they are suitable for fighting they're being brought out to this area and dropped. The adoption rate is 83%. Wayne County's adoption rate is 20.3% and Richland County's is 28.7%. John Shultz, Dog Warden, and his staff have done a great job. As the spay and neuter program continues there should be less and less dogs destroyed.

Mrs. Geissman stated that Chris Jakab sent a memo regarding the YMCA lease for space at the Old Achievement Center. There has been an increase from \$2.81/square foot to \$3.21/square foot. They would like to continue using the facility.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Mr. Bahr asked if they have done any of the repairs they were supposed to do.

Chris believes they have. They would like to do some additional improvements to the space and they would like more than a 1-year commitment in order to recoup some of their investment. Last time the lease was limited to a 1-year lease to see how things would work out. They are looking for at least a 2-year lease.

Commissioners agreed to go with a 2-year lease.

Mrs. Geissman stated that another item that need to be discussed is commendations. Mr. Hambley had requested a commendation for a resident and businessman in Medina County and a list of commendation recipients from the past. A request was received this morning for “Students of the Month”, which involves 14 students. She’s not sure one has been done for a businessman before.

Mr. Hambley noted that the Brunswick Chamber Hall of Fame is for individual business people and businesses. The Clerk agreed, noting that she didn’t look up the actual resolutions to see if they were for specific achievements.

Mr. Bahr noted that he received a request for commending an Eagle Scout and he sent a letter. There have been talks about commendations in the past and some criteria were set up for even county employees to receive them.

Mrs. Geissman noted that in the past Eagle Scouts have received the commendation and she knows they are impressed with receiving them. She suggested that there needs to be more discussion on whether to use the list from the past as guidelines or if new guidelines need to be set up.

Mr. Bahr stated that there needs to be some guidelines because there is a potential to go overboard on these resolutions. If there are no guidelines in place you can’t deny any. A commendation from the Board should stand for something and not just be something that is passed out freely.

Mrs. Geissman suggested that until a final determination on guidelines is made the commendations for Students of the Month and Carl Abell. She had attended an event recently that everyone else gave out commendations and there was not one from the Commissioners. Each Commissioner should look at this list and come up with ideas for guidelines for next week’s discussion.

Ken Hotz stated that he and Bill Thorne have been in discussion with the Health Department about establishing some regulations for water haulers. The Health Department wants the haulers to use tanks approved by them. His concern is for the haulers that say they are hauling for agricultural use instead of sale and it’s not something his department can track. Some type of procedure will be worked on for Commissioners to adopt to enable his department to restrict selling water.

Bill stated that they have a lot of domestic haulers that are selling to residents. The Health Department will have to follow up on these, particularly if someone says they are hauling for agriculture and they are actually selling for domestic use. The Health Department feels that if they can’t get water without certified tanks, they will comply with getting their tanks approved.

Ken suggested giving a list to the Health Department monthly showing who water was sold to.

Mr. Hambley stated that the Health Department has some enforcement ability.

Bill believes they are looking for a resolution that water won’t be sold for domestic use unless they have a certified tank. The Health Department would have to follow up on those that say they are hauling for agricultural use.

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

Ken noted that they sell between 1-1/2 to 2 million gallons a month. The haulers make a deposit and receive a key to access the water.

Bill noted that those that want to continue using a key will have to have an approved tank.

Mr. Bahr asked if the Health Department test cisterns.

Bill believes they do initial tests when they are installed, but he doesn't believe they have a follow up program. He noted that when people sell their homes some banks require inspection.

Mrs. Geissman added that people can take their water to the Health Department for testing.

Mr. Hambley asked if they test ponds that are used for drinking water.

Ken stated it would be difficult to do

Bill stated that they need new regulations. There are a couple cases now that banks have requested the ponds be tested. It is no problem with the homes at this time because they have a valuable filtration system, but if the owners don't keep up the systems there would be problems. They are working under old requirements at this time.

There was no further business before the Board for discussion.

Mr. Bahr moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:35 a.m. Seconded by Mr. Hambley. There was no discussion. Roll Call showed all Commissioners voting AYE.

The Clerk received a bid for disposal of various items, such as paint products, aerosols, and pesticides, for the Solid Waste District. One bid was received from Vexor Technologies. The bid was turned over to Ken Hotz for review and recommendation.

RESOLUTIONS PASSED:

02-0321 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE UPON THE TREASURER IN SETTLEMENT OF SUCH LIST OF CLAIMS

02-0322 RESOLUTION FINDING THAT PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND WELFARE REQUIRES THE EXTENSION OF BRIDGE NO. 17 ON W. 130 STREET (C.H. 17) IN THE CITY OF BRUNSWICK AND HINCKLEY TOWNSHIP, MEDINA COUNTY, OHIO

02-0323 RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PARTIAL VACATION OF AN EXISTING STORM SEWER EASEMENT WITHIN SUBLOT 31 OF LAUREL CROSSING SUBDIVISION PHASE I

02-0324 RESOLUTION DETERMINING THE NECESSITY TO CLOSE FIRESTONE ROAD (C.H. 26) BETWEEN BLACK RIVER SCHOOL ROAD (C.H. 83) AND NEW LONDON EASTERN ROAD (C.H. 84)

02-0325 RESOLUTION DETERMINING THE NECESSITY TO CLOSE FIRESTONE ROAD (C.H. 26) BETWEEN U.S. 224 AND WANDEL ROAD (T.H. 81)

02-0326 SELECTING A \$250,000 LIMIT FOR UNINSURED/UNDERINSURED MOTORIST COVERAGE

02-0327 RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2002 ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS RESOLUTION BY TRANSFERRING APPROPRAITIONS

02-0328 CASH TRANSFERS FOR VARIOUS FUNDS

COMMISSIONERS MEETING – MONDAY, APRIL 29, 2002

02-0329 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM THE CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FUND TO THE GENERAL FUND FOR DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT SERVICES

02-0330 AUTHORIZING A CASH TRANSFER FOR THE GENERAL BOND RETIREMENT FUND

02-0331 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY AUDITOR TO TRANSFER FUNDS FROM THE PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FUND (0120) TO THE JFS BUILDING BOND REPAYMENT FUND (0300)

02-0332 REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE OFFICE FOR OLDER ADULTS FUNDS

02-0333 RESOLUTION ACCEPTING AND REJECTING THE PROPOSAL RELATING TO WIA YOUTH SERVICES FOR THE MEDINA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

02-0334 APPROVAL OF AN AGREEMENT FOR INFORMATION & REFERRAL SERVICES BETWEEN MEDINA COUNTY FAMILY FIRST COUNCIL AND CATHOLIC CHARITIES SERVICES

02-0335 RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING DEPOSIT AND FEE REGULATIONS FOR COSTS INCURRED IN ANNEXATION PROCEEDINGS

02-0336 RESOLUTION APPROVING PERSONNEL CHANGES FOR THE EMPLOYEES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

02-0337 RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RENEWAL OF THE CONTRACT FOR HAULING AND DISPOSAL OF CAKE SLUDGE (CONTRACTS A&C) AND THE HAULING OF LIQUID SLUDGE (CONTRACT B) FOR THE VARIOUS MEDINA COUNTY WASTEWATER FACILITIES

02-0338 RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING GENERAL ORDERS FOR THE HEARING OF ANNEXATION PETITIONS

02-339 RESOLUTION TO ALLOW EXPENSES OF COUNTY OFFICIALS

MEDINA COUNTY COMMISSIONERS:

Patricia G. Geissman

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas R. Bahr

Pamela J. Terrill, Clerk

Stephen D. Hambley